

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Low-density series expansions for directed percolation on square and triangular lattices

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1996 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29 7013 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/29/22/007)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.70 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 04:04

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Low-density series expansions for directed percolation on square and triangular lattices

Iwan Jensen[†]

Department of Mathematics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia

Received 23 May 1996

Abstract. Greatly extended series have been derived for moments of the pair-connectedness for bond and site percolation on the directed square and triangular lattices. The length of the various series has been at least doubled to more than 110 (100) terms for the square-lattice bond (site) problem and more than 55 terms for the bond and site problems on the triangular lattice. Analysis of the series leads to very accurate estimates for the critical parameters and generally seems to rule out simple rational values for the critical exponents. The values of the critical exponents for the average cluster size, parallel and perpendicular connectedness lengths are estimated by $\gamma = 2.277\,69(4)$, $\nu_{\parallel} = 1.733\,825(25)$ and $\nu_{\perp} = 1.096\,844(14)$, respectively. An improved estimate for the percolation probability exponent is obtained from the scaling relation $\beta = (\nu_{\parallel} + \nu_{\perp} - \gamma)/2 = 0.276\,49(4)$. In all cases the leading correction to scaling term is analytic.

1. Introduction

Models exhibiting critical behaviour similar to directed percolation (DP) are encountered in a wide variety of problems such as fluid flow in porous media, Reggeon field theory, chemical reactions, population dynamics, catalysis, epidemics, forest fires, and even galactic evolution. Directed percolation is thus a model of relevance to a very diverse set of physical problems and it is therefore no wonder that it continues to attract a great deal of attention. Furthermore, two-dimensional directed percolation is one of the simplest models which is not translationally invariant and therefore cannot be treated in the framework of conformal field theory [1]. This leaves open a number of fundamental questions about this model. What should one expect an exact solution to look like and more concretely are the critical exponents rational?

In the absence of an exact solution the most powerful method for studying latticestatistics models is probably that of series expansions. The method of exact series expansions consists of calculating the first few coefficients in the Taylor expansion of various thermodynamic functions, or, in more abstract terms, various moments of some appropriate generating function. Given such a series, highly accurate estimates can be obtained for the critical parameters using differential approximants [2]. In the most favourable cases one can even find an exact expression for the generating function from the first-series coefficients.

Low-density series in the variable p, which is the probability that bonds or sites are present, were first derived by Blease [3], who used a transfer-matrix method to calculate series for the cluster size and other moments of the pair-connectedness of bond percolation

0305-4470/96/227013+28\$19.50 (© 1996 IOP Publishing Ltd

[†] E-mail address: iwan@maths.mu.oz.au

7014 I Jensen

on directed square and triangular lattices. These series were greatly extended by Essam *et al* [4], who also studied site percolation. They devised a non-nodal graph expansion, which enabled them to calculate twice as many terms correctly from the basic transfer-matrix calculation, and derived the series to order 49 (48) for the square bond (site) problem and to order 25 (26) for the triangular bond (site) problem. These long series resulted in accurate exponent estimates and led to the conjectured critical exponents $\gamma = 41/18$, $\nu_{\perp} = 79/72$, $\nu_{\parallel} = 26/15$, and $\beta = 199/720$ [4].

High-density series for the percolation probability were derived by Blease [3]. The square bond series was greatly extended by Baxter and Guttmann [5] using a superior transfer-matrix method and an extrapolation procedure based on predicting correction terms from successive calculations on finite lattices of increasing size. The analysis of the resulting series conformed to the conjectured fraction for β . This series and the one for the square site problem were recently extended by Jensen and Guttmann [6] who also studied the triangular bond and site problems [7]. The analysis of these extended series yielded more precise exponent estimates. From these estimates they concluded that there are no simple rational fractions whose decimal expansion agrees with the highly accurate estimates of β obtained from the square bond and triangular site series. In particular, the rational fraction suggested by Essam *et al* [4] is incompatible with the estimates.

In this paper I combine an efficient transfer-matrix calculation with the non-nodal graph expansion and the above-mentioned extrapolation method and have been able to more than double the number of series terms for moments of the pair-connectedness. Most of the series have been extended to order 112 for the square bond problem, 106 for the square site problem, 57 for the triangular bond problem and 56 for the triangular site problem. The series were analysed using differential approximants which can accommodate a wide variety of functional features and certainly should be appropriate in this case. The major result of the analysis is that the exact exponent values conjectured by Essam *et al* [4] generally seems to be incompatible with the numerical estimates from the differential approximant analysis.

The remainder of the article is organized as follows. In section 2 I will give further details of the models studied in this paper. Section 3 contains a description of the series-expansion technique with special emphasis on the transfer-matrix calculation (section 3.1) and the extrapolation procedure for the square bond case (section 3.3). Details of the extrapolation procedure for the remaining problems are given in the appendix. Details of the series analysis are given in section 4 and the results are discussed and summarized in section 5.

2. Specification of the models

Domany and Kinzel [8] demonstrated that site and bond percolation on the directed square lattice are special cases of a one-dimensional stochastic cellular automaton in which the preferred direction t is time. DP is thus a model for a simple branching process in which a site x occupied at time t may give rise to zero or one offspring on each of the sites $x \pm 1$ at time t + 1. Whether a site (x, t) is occupied or not depends only on the state of its nearest neighbours in the row above. The evolution of the model on the square lattice is therefore governed by the conditional probabilities $P(\sigma_x | \sigma_l, \sigma_r)$, with $\sigma_i = 1$ if site i is occupied and 0 otherwise. These transition probabilities are the probabilities of finding the site (x, t)in state σ_x given that the sites (x - 1, t - 1) and (x + 1, t - 1) were in states σ_l and σ_r , respectively. One has a very free hand in choosing the transition probabilities as long as one respects conservation of probability, $P(1|\sigma_l, \sigma_r) = 1 - P(0|\sigma_l, \sigma_r)$. In addition studies have generally been limited to cases in which the transition probabilities are independent of both x and t. In this paper I restrict my study to the following two cases corresponding to bond and site percolation:

$$P(0|\sigma_l, \sigma_r) = \begin{cases} (1-p)^{\sigma_l + \sigma_r} & \text{bond} \\ (1-p)^{1-(1-\sigma_l)(1-\sigma_r)} & \text{site.} \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

On the triangular lattice the model is described by the probabilities $P(\sigma_x | \sigma_l, \sigma_t, \sigma_r)$ of finding the site (x, t) in state σ_x given that the sites (x-1, t-1), (x, t-2), and (x+1, t-1) were in states σ_l , σ_t and σ_r , respectively, and I study the two cases

$$P(0|\sigma_l, \sigma_r, \sigma_r) = \begin{cases} (1-p)^{\sigma_l + \sigma_r} & \text{bond} \\ (1-p)^{1-(1-\sigma_1)(1-\sigma_r)} & \text{site.} \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

The behaviour of the model is controlled by the branching probability p. When p is smaller than a critical value p_c the branching process eventually dies out and all space–time clusters remain finite. For $p > p_c$ there is a non-zero probability P(p) that the branching process will survive indefinitely. This percolation probability is the order parameter of the process, and close to p_c it vanishes as a power-law:

$$P(p) \propto (p - p_c)^{\beta} \qquad p \to p_c^+.$$
(2.3)

In the low-density phase $(p < p_c)$ many quantities of interest can be derived from the pair-connectedness $C_{x,t}(p)$, which is the probability that the site x is occupied at time t given that the origin was occupied at t = 0. The moments of the pair-connectedness may be written as

$$\mu_{n,m}(p) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{x} x^{n} t^{m} C_{x,t}(p).$$
(2.4)

Due to symmetry, moments involving odd powers of x vanish. The remaining moments diverge as p approaches the critical point from below:

$$\mu_{n,m}(p) \propto (p_c - p)^{-(\gamma + n\nu_{\perp} + m\nu_{\parallel})} \qquad p \to p_c^-.$$
(2.5)

One generally only studies the lower-order moments such as the mean cluster size $S(p) = \mu_{0,0}(p)$, the first parallel moment $\mu_{0,1}(p)$, the second perpendicular moment $\mu_{2,0}(p)$, and the second parallel moment $\mu_{0,2}(p)$.

3. Series expansions

From (2.4) it follows that the first and second moments can be derived from the quantities

$$S(t) = \sum_{x} C_{x,t}(p)$$
 and $X(t) = \sum_{x} x^2 C_{x,t}(p)$ (3.1)

as

$$S = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} S(t) \qquad \mu_{0,1} = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t S(t) \qquad \mu_{0,2} = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t^2 S(t) \qquad \mu_{2,0} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} X(t).$$
(3.2)

S(t) and X(t) are polynomials in p obtained by summing the pair-connectedness over all lattice sites whose parallel distance from the origin is t. As shown by Essam [9] the pair-connectedness can be expressed as a sum over all graphs formed by taking unions of directed paths connecting the origin to the site (x, t),

$$C_{x,t}(p) = \sum_{g} d(g)p^{e}$$
 (3.3)

7016 I Jensen

where *e* is the number of random elements (bonds or sites) in the graph *g*. Any directed path to a site whose parallel distance from the origin is *t* contains at least m(t) steps with m(t) = t for the square lattice and $m(t) = \lfloor (t+1)/2 \rfloor$ (integer division) for the triangular lattice. From this it follows that if S(t) and X(t) have been calculated for $t \leq t_{max}$ then one can determine the moments to order $m(t_{max} + 1) - 1$. One can, however, do much better, as demonstrated by Essam *et al* [4]. They used a non-nodal graph expansion, based on work by Bhatti and Essam [10], to extend the series to order $n(t_{max})$ approximately equal to $2m(t_{max})$ (the actual order varies a little from problem to problem). Details of this expansion will be given below, but here it will suffice to note that it works by calculating the contributions $S^N(t)$ and $X^N(t)$ (correct to order n(t)) of non-nodal graphs to S(t) and X(t) and using the non-nodal expansions to calculate the final series for S(p) and the various moments. Further extensions of the series can be obtained by using a procedure similar to that of Baxter and Guttmann [5]. One looks at correction terms to the series and tries to identify extrapolation formulae for the first n_r correction terms allowing one to derive a further n_r series terms correctly.

The series expansions for moments of the pair-connectedness is thus obtained as follows:

(i) Calculate the polynomials S(t) and X(t) for $t \leq t_{\text{max}}$ using the transfer-matrix technique to an order greater than $n(t_{\text{max}}) + n_r$.

(ii) For each t use the non-nodal graph expansion to calculate $S_t^N = \sum_{t' \leq t} S^N(t')$ and $X_t^N = \sum_{t' \leq t} X^N(t')$ correct to order n(t).

(iii) From the sequences obtained from $S_t^N - S_{t+1}^N = -S^N(t+1)$ and $X_t^N - X_{t+1}^N = -X^N(t+1)$ for $t < t_{\text{max}}$ identify the first n_r correction terms.

(iv) Use these correction terms to extend the series for S^N and X^N to order $n(t_{max}) + n_r$.

(v) Finally calculate the series for S, $\mu_{0,1}$, $\mu_{0,2}$ and $\mu_{2,0}$ correct to order $n(t_{\text{max}}) + n_r$.

Details of the transfer-matrix technique, non-nodal graph expansion and extrapolation procedure are given in the following sections.

3.1. Transfer-matrix technique

Figure 1 shows the part of the square and triangular lattices which can be reached from the origin O using no more than five steps. Note that, in keeping with the prescription used by Essam *et al* [4], vertical steps on the triangular lattice correspond to incrementing t by two. The calculation of the pair-connectedness is readily turned into an efficient computer algorithm by use of the transfer-matrix technique. From (2.1) and (2.2) one sees that the evaluation of the pair-connectedness involves only local 'interactions' since the



Figure 1. Directed square and triangular lattices with orientation given by the arrows.

transition probabilities depend on neighbouring sites only. The probability of finding a given configuration can therefore be calculated by moving a boundary through the lattice one site at a time. At any given stage this line cuts through a number of, say k, lattice sites thus leading to a total of 2^k possible configurations along this line. Configurations along the boundary line are trivially represented as binary numbers, and the probability of each configuration is represented by a truncated polynomial in p.

Figure 1 shows how the boundary (marked by large filled circles) is moved in order to pick up the weight associated with a given 'face' of the lattice at a position x along the boundary line. On the square lattice the boundary site at σ_r is moved to σ_x and the weight $P(\sigma_x | \sigma_l, \sigma_r)$ is picked up. Similarly on the triangular lattice the boundary site at σ_t is moved to σ_x while picking up the weight $P(\sigma_x | \sigma_l, \sigma_r, \sigma_r)$. In more detail, let $S0 = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{x-1}, 0, \sigma_{x+1}, \ldots, \sigma_k)$ be the configuration of sites along the boundary with 0 at position x and similarly $S1 = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{x-1}, 1, \sigma_{x+1}, \ldots, \sigma_k)$ the configuration with 1 at position x. Then in moving the x'th site as just described the boundary line polynomials are updated as follows on the square lattice

$$P(S0) = W(0|0, \sigma_l)P(S0) + W(0|1, \sigma_l)P(S1)$$

$$P(S1) = W(1|0, \sigma_l)P(S0) + W(1|1, \sigma_l)P(S1)$$

and as follows on the triangular lattice

$$P(S0) = W(0|\sigma_r, 0, \sigma_l)P(S0) + W(0|\sigma_r, 1, \sigma_l)P(S1)$$

$$P(S1) = W(1|\sigma_r, 0, \sigma_l)P(S0) + W(1|\sigma_r, 1, \sigma_l)P(S1).$$

The pair-connectedness is calculated from the boundary polynomials before the boundary leaves the site by summing over all configurations with a 1 at that site. In practise the data was collected when the boundary reached a horizontal position on the square lattice and a position parallel to the right edge of the triangular lattice. The pair-connectedness is obviously symmetrical in x, $C_{x,t}(p) = C_{-x,t}(p)$, so it suffices to calculate the pair-connectedness for $x \ge 0$. More importantly, due to the directedness of the lattices, if one looks at sites (x, t) with $x \ge 0$ they can never be reached by paths extending onto points (x', t') in the part of the lattice for which $t' > \lfloor t/2 \rfloor$, $x' < -\lfloor t/2 \rfloor$. This effectively means that the pair-connectedness at points with parallel distance t from the origin can be calculated using a boundary which cuts through at most $\lfloor t/2 \rfloor + 1$ sites. Thus the memory (and time) required to derive S(t) and X(t) grows like $2^{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor + 1}$.

For the bond and site problems on the square lattice I was able to calculate the pairconnectedness up to $t_{max} = 47$ and for the triangular lattice up to $t_{max} = 45$. Since the integer coefficients occurring in the series expansion become very large the calculation was performed using modular arithmetic [11]. Each run for t_{max} , using a different prime number, took approximately 12 hours using 64 nodes on an Intel Paragon, and up to eight primes were needed to represent the coefficients correctly. The major limitation of the present calculation was available computer memory rather than time.

3.2. Non-nodal graph expansion

The non-nodal graph expansion has been described in detail in [4] and here I will only summarise the main points and introduce some notation. A graph g is nodal if there is a point (other than the terminal point) through which all paths pass. It is clear that each such nodal point effectively works as a new origin for the cluster growth. This is the essential idea behind the non-nodal graph expansion. $S^N(t)$ is the contribution to S(t) obtained by restricting the sum in (3.3) to non-nodal graphs. The non-nodal expansions are

7018 I Jensen

obtained recursively from the polynomials S(t) and X(t). First one sets $S^{N}(1) = S(1)$ and $X^{N}(1) = X(1)$ and then for $2 \le t \le t_{\text{max}}$ one calculates $S^{N}(t)$ and $X^{N}(t)$ from

$$S^{N}(t) = S(t) - \sum_{t'=1}^{t-1} S^{N}(t')S(t-t')$$
(3.4)

and

$$X^{N}(t) = X(t) - \sum_{t'=1}^{t-1} [S^{N}(t')X(t-t') + X^{N}(t')S(t-t')].$$
(3.5)

Next form the sums of (3.2) using the truncated non-nodal polynomials $S^{N}(t)$ and $X^{N}(t)$ instead of S(t) and X(t). The final series are then obtained from the formulae

$$S = 1/(1 - S^N)$$
(3.6)

$$\mu_{0,1} = \mu_{0,1}^N S^2 \tag{3.7}$$

$$\mu_{0,2} = [\mu_{0,2}^N + 2(\mu_{0,1}^N)^2 S]S^2$$
(3.8)

$$\mu_{2,0} = \mu_{2,0}^N S^2. \tag{3.9}$$

3.3. Extrapolation procedure

When forming the sums (3.2) one could have stopped the summation at any t prior to reaching t_{max} and used the formulae above to derive the series correct to order n(t). Let S_t^N and X_t^N denote the non-nodal expansions obtained in this fashion. As observed by Baxter and Guttmann [5] one can often extend the series considerably by looking at correction terms to such series. The polynomials S(t) and X(t), and thus likewise the non-nodal expansions, will obviously contain terms of much higher order than that to which the final series is correct. One can therefore look at the difference between successive expansions, e.g.

$$S_t^N - S_{t+1}^N = -S^N(t+1) = p^{n(t+1)} \sum_{r \ge 0} s_{t,r} p^r$$
(3.10)

which yields sequences of numbers $s_{t,r}$ with $t < t_{max}$. As observed in [5] the first sequence of numbers $s_{t,0}$ is often quite simple and can readily be conjectured so that a closed form expression or a simple recurrence relation can be found. In the following I will give the details of how this is done in the square bond case. The treatment of the other problems are detailed in the appendix. Note, that if one can find the first n_r correction terms one can use $S_{t_{max}}^N = \sum_{m \ge 0} a_{N,m} p^m$ to extend the series $S^N = \sum_{m \ge 0} a_m p^m$ to order $n(t_{max}) + n_r$, via

$$a_{n(t_{\max})+1+k} = a_{N,n(t_{\max})+1+k} - \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} s_{t_{\max}+m,k-2m}.$$
(3.11)

So in order to find the correct series term $a_{n(t_{\max})+1+k}$ from the 'partial' term $a_{N,n(t_{\max})+1+k}$ one first subtracts $s_{t_{\max},k}$ which yields correctly the term $a_{N+1,n(t_{\max}+1)-1+k}$. One continues this process until arriving at $a_{N+\lfloor k/2 \rfloor+1,n(t_{\max}+\lfloor k/2 \rfloor+1)-q}$, where q = 1(0) if k is even (odd), which is the correct term in the series for S^N .

In the square bond case the first sequence of correction terms start out as

$$s_{t,0} = 1, 2, 5, 14, 42, 132, 429, \ldots$$

which is immediately recognizable as the Catalan numbers $C_t = (2t)!/(t!(t+1)!)$. These also occurred as the first correction term for the percolation probability series [5]. There is a very simple combinatorial proof for the first correction term. The first correction term arises

from the simplest (containing the minimum number of random elements) non-nodal graphs terminating at level t + 1. These graphs are also the ones giving the first term of $S^N(t + 1)$. It is obvious that these graphs are composed of two paths of length t + 1 each, which meet at level t + 1 but does not cross earlier. These graphs are in one-to-one correspondence with *staircase polyominoes* (or *polygons*) and it is well known that the latter are enumerated by the Catalan numbers [12, 13].

As was the case for the percolation probability series the higher-order correction terms can be expressed as rational functions of $s_{t,0}$. For S^N these extrapolation formulae are

$$s_{t,r} = \frac{2^r}{16\lfloor r/2 \rfloor!} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} b_{r,k} (2t)^k C_{t-r+2} + \sum_{j=1}^{2r} a_{r,j} C_{t-r+j} \qquad t \ge r$$
(3.12)

which are very similar to the formulae found in the percolation probability case [5]. The extrapolation formulae for $\mu_{0,1}^N$ and $\mu_{0,2}^N$ are simply $(t+1)s_{t,r}$ and $(t+1)^2s_{t,r}$, respectively.

The factor in front of the first sum has been chosen so as to make the leading coefficients particularly simple. I was able to find formulae for all correction terms up to r = 16. The coefficients in the extrapolation formulae are listed in table 1.

From (3.12) it is clear that the $t_{\text{max}} - r$ terms available in the sequences for the correction terms are not sufficient to determine all the $2r + \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$ unknown coefficients of the extrapolation formulae for large r. However, from table 1 one immediately sees that the leading coefficients $a_{r,2r}$ and $b_{r,\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}$ in the extrapolation formulae are very simple In particular one has, $(-1)^r a_{r,2r} = 2$, and

$$b_{r,\lfloor r/2\rfloor} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\lfloor r/2\rfloor}(r-9) & r \text{ odd} \\ (-1)^{\lfloor r/2\rfloor} & r \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$

Likewise, $a_{r,1}$ is zero for r > 2. In general I find that the leading coefficients $a_{r,2r-m}$ are expressible as polynomials in r of order m:

$$(-1)^{r}a_{r,2r-m} = \begin{cases} -4r & r > 0, m = 1\\ 4r^{2} - 10 & r > 2, m = 2\\ -8r^{3}/3 + 80r/3 - 40 & r > 4, m = 3\\ 4r^{4}/3 - 100r^{2}/3 + 86r - 48 & r > 6, m = 4\\ -8r^{5}/15 + 80r^{3}/3 - 92r^{2} - 62r/15 + 350 & r > 8, m = 5. \end{cases}$$

So when calculating the coefficients listed in table 1 I first used the sequences for the correction terms to predict as many of the extrapolation formulae (3.12) as possible. Then I predicted as many of the leading coefficients as possible. This in turn allowed me to find more extrapolation formulae, which I used to find more of the formulae for the leading coefficients $a_{r,2r-m}$. I repeated this until the process stopped with the extrapolation formulae listed in table 1.

For X^N the sequence determining the first correction formula starts out as

$$x_{t,0} = 0, 2, 8, 30, 112, 420, 1584, 6006, 22880, \dots$$

from which one sees that $x_{t,0} = 2(t-1)C_{t-1}$. The proof of this formula is a little more involved. First one needs the number of configurations, w(t, x), of two non-crossing paths terminating at (x, t). Essam and Guttmann [14] gives a formula for the number of non-crossing watermelon configurations with p chains which join s steps and at height q from the origin

$$w_s(0) = 1 \qquad w_s(s-q) = w_s(q)$$

16	0	119 511 222 145 568	895 836 211 184	225 709 412 166	56869637848	14 327 820 664	3 613 132 526	907 714 555	230 241 986	57 545 347	1/115494	5 296 402	7 985 377	-80 523 984	253 167 388	-476657120	630 128 108	-630765580	499 439 206	-321314788	170 769 484	-75 687 360	28 080 208	-8 708 108	2 242 268	-473 282	80176	962.01-	101	2	16	-54 261 648 660 378	472 954 813 060	-3073413820	1 944 614	228 338	4475	-190
15	0	-12122717004480	-9899560396	-24957565640	-6293972216	-1588285518	-400539832	-101688652	-25377216	-6237 298	-10524/2	5408144	-27034302	75851240	-135911846	172181172	-164637226	123782008	$-75\ 101\ 678$	37359148	-15361860	5229684	-1467208	335412	-61 242	8640	-890	00 °	7-		15	$1476852478036\frac{1}{2}$	$-13744040652\frac{1}{3}$	121408458	-1366634	12624	214	0
14	0	1 219 851 293 868 🚽	10 953 873 032	2 761 883 296	691 132 356	175 222 526	44 102 064	10 991 864	2784916	1 052 566	-220248	-7 534 902	21 555 948	-38084370	46 662 216	-42 583 794	30 262 590	-17211632	7 955 196	-3006340	927 428	-231406	45 844	-6984	774	-26	2				14	$-318781058794\frac{1}{5}$	2914183463	-17820094	-3508	-928	- 92	Ī
13	0	-122433360736	-1214979776	-306557008	-77439024	- 19 644 478	-5002064	-1287920	-316072	66 134	30 808	6 0 65 7 88	-10712930	12 625 252	-10913378	7 280 308	-3 853 692	1 639 988	-562680	154 688	-33518	5552	-666	52	-2						13	9 971 872 629	-101995034	1 076 040	-12680	-24	4	
12	0	12 123 014 088	135 051 980	34 074 102	8 576 744	2 132 332	526194	120268	72 284	69 021	-620424 1851707	-3 068 002	3 402 918	-2761138	1 717 822	-839098	324 908	-99 578	23 832	-4328	566	-48	2								12	-2 144 369 019	20 902 321	-134 103	547	- 39	-	
^{ur, J} 11	0	-1206800312	-15086824	-3811900	-970 136	-256572	-65048	-25206	44 728	-211740	-251 884 -261 506	903 432	-687 670	396164	-176520	61 228	-16386	3296	-474	44	-2										Ξ	79 858876	-951764	11 614	-64	-2		
10	0	117 363 472	1 695 688	428 060	105 294	23 242	5678	7548	-48124	150 272	-230 / 10 237 804	- 168 552	88 790	-35 558	10812	-2440	390	-40	2												10	-17 178 537	183 506	-1142	19	ī		
6	0	-11675848	-193832	-49 296	-13960	-3504	-1404	-12582	44 144	-67 204	705 70	19 192	-6774	1744	-314	36	-2														6	796424	-10896	112	0			
×	0	1 087 360	22 370	5455	806	732	-4980	14364	-19094	16 004	-9392	-1192	246	-32	2																8	-166600	1766	-20	-			
7	0	-104432	-2588	-858	-132	-1582	4072	-5092	3992	-2122	/08	28	-2-																		7	9898	-150	2				
9	0	8396	282	-30	-316	1090	-1368	998	-456	134	47 -	4																			9	-1955	30	Γ				
5	0	-772	-60	-140	352	-392	240	-90	20	-2																					5	176	4					
4	0	52	-48	110	-102	54	-16	7																							4	-37	-					
3	0	- 14	24	-26	12	-7																									3	6						
1 2		2 7,1	[√] %	2																											1 2	ī						
Γ.,		٦ ا		4	2	9	2	~	6	0.		1	4	10			~	_	~	_	2	~	4	2	9	-	× •	200	c	- 0	*		2	~	4	2	10.0	~ ~

oblem.
l pr
bond
square
the
і.
S^N
for
lae
mu
for
ion
olat
rap
ext
the
ц.
$b_{r,k}$
pu
, j a
s a,
ient
fffc
coe
The
-
ble
Ta

and

$$w_{s}(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{q} \frac{(p+i)_{s-2i+1}}{(i)_{s-2i+1}} \qquad 1 \le q \le \lfloor s/2 \rfloor$$
(3.13)

where $(a)_k = a(a + 1)(a + 2) \cdots (a + k - 1)$, is Pochhammer's symbol. A watermelon configuration with two chains is in one-to-one correspondence with the configuration obtained from the two non-crossing paths by deleting the two bonds connected to the origin and the two bonds connected to the terminal point, so that $w(t, x) = w_{t-2}(x)$. In the case p = 2 (3.13) reduces to a simple product of binomial coefficients,

$$w_{s}(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{q} \frac{(s-i+2)(s-i+1)}{i(i+1)} = \frac{s!(s+1)!}{(s-q)!q!(s+1-q)!(q+1)!}$$
$$= \frac{1}{s+2} {\binom{s}{q}} {\binom{s+2}{q+1}}.$$
(3.14)

The correction term $s_{t,0}$ can easily be derived from (3.14) as (remembering that $s_{t,0}$ arises from paths terminating at level t + 1)

$$s_{t,0} = \sum_{q=0}^{t-1} w_{t-1}(q) = \frac{1}{t+1} \sum_{q=0}^{t-1} {\binom{t-1}{q}} {\binom{t+1}{q+1}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{t+1} \sum_{q=0}^{t} {\binom{t-1}{q}} {\binom{t+1}{t-q}} = \frac{1}{t+1} {\binom{2t}{t}} = C_t.$$

In this derivation I have used only standard properties of binomial coefficients, the main one being the formula

$$\sum_{q=0}^{p} \binom{m}{q} \binom{n}{p-q} = \binom{m+n}{p}.$$
(3.15)

After this little diversion I return to the calculation of $x_{t,0}$. From (3.1) and the measurement of x with respect to the centre line it is clear that

$$x_{t,0} = \sum_{q=0}^{3} (s - 2q)^2 w_s(q)$$
(3.16)

where s = t - 1. By simple expansion of the square and insertion of $w_s(q)$ one finds

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t,0} &= \frac{1}{s+2} \left[s^2 \sum_{q=0}^{s+1} \binom{s}{q} \binom{s+2}{q+1} - 4s \sum_{q=0}^{s+1} q \binom{s}{q} \binom{s+2}{q+1} \right. \\ &+ 4 \sum_{q=0}^{s+1} q(q+1) \binom{s}{q} \binom{s+2}{q+1} - 4 \sum_{q=0}^{s+1} q \binom{s}{q} \binom{s+2}{q+1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{s+2} \left[s^2 \binom{2s+2}{s+1} - 4s^2 \binom{2s+1}{s+1} - 4s(s+2) \binom{2s}{s} - 4s \binom{2s+1}{s+1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{s+2} \left[-\frac{2s^2(2s+1)}{s+1} \binom{2s}{s} + 4s(s+2) \binom{2s}{s} - \frac{4s(2s+1)}{s+1} \binom{2s}{s} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(s+2)(s+1)} \binom{2s}{s} [2s^2 + 4s] = \frac{2s}{(s+1)} \binom{2s}{s} \end{aligned}$$

The major step was the use of (3.15) to get rid of the sum over q. For the rest of the calculations I only used the definition and well known properties of the binomial coefficients.

In this case I find that the general extrapolation formulae can be written as

$$x_{t,r} = \frac{2^r}{16\lfloor r/2 \rfloor!} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor+1} b_{r,k} (2t)^k C_{t-r+2} + \sum_{j=0}^{2r} a_{r,j} C_{t-r+j} \qquad t \ge r.$$
(3.17)

The coefficients are not reproduced here due to the excessive length of this material, but are available from the author (please see end of article for details). Again I found that the leading coefficients are very simple, so a procedure similar to that used to find more extrapolation formulae for S^N was applied for X^N also. Though in this case it is slightly more complicated because different polynomials are found for $a_{r,2r-m}$ depending on whether r is odd or even. I was able to find the extrapolation formulae for $r \leq 15$.

From the polynomials for $S^N(t_{\text{max}})$ and $X^N(t_{\text{max}})$, using the extrapolation formulae given above, I extended the series for S(p), $\mu_{0,1}(p)$ and $\mu_{0,2}(p)$ to order 112 and the series for $\mu_{2,0}(p)$ to order 111. The new series terms are listed in table 2, while the terms for $n \leq 49$ can be found in [4]. The full series are available from the author via e-mail or can be retrieved from the authors homepage on the world wide web (see later for details).

For the square site problem I have identified the first 12 extrapolation formulae for S^N and the first nine for X^N . This allowed me to derive the series correctly to order 106 and 103, respectively. For the triangular bond and site cases the first 10–12 extrapolation formulae were found and the series calculated to orders 55–57 depending on the particular problem. Details of the extrapolation formulae and lists of the new series coefficients can be found in the appendix. The full series and tables of the coefficients in the extrapolation formulae can be obtained from the author.

4. Analysis of the series

In the vicinity of the critical point one expects the moments of the pair-connectedness to have the functional form

$$f(p) \propto A(p_c - p)^{\lambda} [1 + a_1(p_c - p)^{\Delta_1} + b_1(p_c - p) \dots]$$
(4.1)

where λ is the critical exponent, Δ_1 the leading confluent exponent and the ... represents higher-order correction terms. By universality we expect λ to be the same for all the percolation problems. In addition to the physical singularity, the series may have nonphysical singularities for other values (real or complex) of p.

The series for moments of the pair-connectedness were analysed using inhomogeneous first- and second-order differential approximants. A comprehensive review of these and other techniques for series analysis may be found in [2]. Here it suffices to say that a Kth-order differential approximant to a function f is formed by matching the earliest series coefficients to an inhomogeneous differential equation of the form (see [2] for details)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{K} Q_i(x) \left(x \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \right)^i f(x) = P(x)$$
(4.2)

where Q_i and P are polynomials of order N_i and L, respectively. First- and second-order approximants are denoted by $[L/N_0; N_1]$ and $[L/N_0; N_1; N_2]$, respectively.

$\mu_{2,0}(p)$	27 794 063 081 342	54 920 977 045 280	73 258 860 229 496	154 245 664 038 528	189 153 100 033 446	436 835 649 689 930	474 309 443 770 870	1 248 873 201 075 582	1142258426763018	362 093 554 078 700	2 540 682 041 470 492	10 729 171 422 690 574	4 813 181 710 705 328	32 414 565 156 737 718	5 583 933 472 771 488	100528453740276036	-13 398 245 182 310 812	322.040.908.558.415.270	-141155953736298432	1 053 196 692 821 964 284	-760.886.807.616.650.166	3 546 162 218 978 100 650	-3521825272581984064	9787 084 197 287 084 197	-1487011215150255	766 226 100 220 787 570 67	-29746 354 645 407 475 464	153 618 586 695 190 985 346	-237460263100122622008	558 339 048 175 747 451 206	-917262309953119861762	2023409847652367652792	-3497872081717444367406	7468540111543307136334	-13423468537971564505180	27702 351 077 321 825 033 806	-50534526731865521375910	101918 197 947 493 977 841 846	-190086876471603772883468	381418739444284933316252	-721 567973 001 941 669 604 264	1 423 864 146 941 093 990 943 952	-2 690 665 938 166 916 889 494 170	5 267 639 463 907 912 905 453 732	400 101 170 061 077 160 / 10 060 01	12 040 040 140 101 /01 041 041 050 040 040 040 040 040 040 040 040 040	707 709 700 700 701 100 007 VL2 000 VL	-141654537468965193313430616	711 024 423 455 737 401 027 702 702	201 022 102 10021 0002100021 120	- 225 125 210 267 120 263 120 265 220 255 256 256 256 256 256 256 256 256 256	000 101 000 100 000 1000 1000 1000 100	3 877 275 062 856 423 137 844 597 137	-7431921350302739474421979228	14 398 589 108 038 667 956 855 208 338	-27996025755985946126762621566	54 550 461 477 489 119 415 528 104 754	-105346747734498192654664703386	202541716970409485480895800850	-389508487345526842037950714262	$755\ 678\ 002\ 297\ 838\ 255\ 419\ 395\ 153\ 550$	
$\mu_{0,2}(p)$	1 619 393 474 185 766	3 063 931 985 169 024	4530325110201816	8 892 704 619 221 536	12 476033 918 538 246	25 899 537 405 464 346	33 711 579 420 868 182	75 639 045 971 965 390	89 157 533 500 835 018	222 615 251 058 740 148	226410239178311060	665 257 166 510 500 110	541 873 450068 575 656	2010803687079582486	1 1 76 1 37 6 2 3 0 37 1 20 1 36	6 208 781 157 063 955 092	$1\ 897\ 872\ 187\ 352\ 474\ 044$	19 749 039 440 486 959 110	105 921 802 167 944 744	63 823 159 209 011 263 356	-187876064221921686	213 199 421 030 557 203 290	-130294082472485176236	735 449 584 170 61 2 356 710	016 000 710 001 100 014 001	2 558 081 110 403 875 257 118	-2 877 616 797 616 193 864 740	0104867775386117146210	-12360072007022536761656	33 739 282 207 965 7 19 236 902	-50141941500909233943898	123 045 017 109 279 192 315 256	-199404059032602758054790	462 621 148 772 929 893 023 982	-799093581590590191030632	1745525522573249273895934	-3091636958239698242569606	6508930727244009005368374	-11977317344882408349739708	24 964 572 420 431 393 417 069 916	-46972868730035864908413600	95 014 521 823 798 847 778 682 224	- 178 540 440 608 962 610 328 762 650	357 068 364 097 506 426 338 276 644	70/ 700 2/6776 /72 740 260 6/2 /200 –	1 202 420 1 20 1 20 1 21 1 1 2 021 20 1 20	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 00 000 11 0 tot b00 000 07t 000 707 0	10 830 240 741 210 102 476 250 060 620	-38 800 460 642 101 242 101 242 407 407 407 407 407 407 407	200 000 100 011 700 101 700 000 000 000 0		0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	$-562\ 231\ 983\ 817\ 634\ 749\ 999\ 483\ 821\ 520$	1 102 918 492 775 428 103 319 535 730 226	-2173673482315575515684047562710	4292 233 544 563 601 832 800911 011 570	-8344957626439769709378845906902	16131 167712769 833 203 421 125 262 258	-31237041224868511036559013283630	61365773705437232962411451241006	-121215418908857920604650167026140
$\mu_{0,1}(p)$	11 801 670 105 578	33 055 165 149 064	27 869 200 356 228	96 170 461 301 080	58 847 785 748 014	288 365 269 158 218	97 008 272 891 722	876 853 221 827 434	50 270 991 328 638	2 742 424 862 540 904	-723012645772984	8 945 610 206 297 122	-5091807702556172	29 441 230 893 756 258	-24604605804865004	100 083 593 993 221 016	-111027801572997440	353 256 305 942 487 862	-459 124 803 459 589 112	1 234 649 044 784 083 520	-1803 990 875 049 717 410	4457 869 599 502 824 958	-7204198205577806878	16419 837 777 409 088 034		59.215.007.852.236.257.798	-104264 518 320 642 632 294	220308 940 252 364 053 854	-404350946017058554676	825284068524839748354	-1512209154805053886454	3 008 597 412 927 623 407 944	-5661354126139476495002	11 376987 638 602 404 205 186	-21748117195128953695678	42 666489 134 233 272 441 382	-80452042465425106274566	156482448914584874236898	-301476120742919711572632	595 928 021 892 468 292 003 228	-1153611368793245492912948	2 230 172 227 389 674 189 568 676	-4.238.623.392.738.344.821.239.874	8 194 551 426 018 374 360 988 480	0// 076 607 740 607 0/006 006 01	10 10 10 10 10 10 20 20 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	116 710 562 417 605 105 623 007 207	400 000 007 004 176 714 000 011 011	131 333 068 017 106 830 158 550 333	222 / CC 0/1 / 20 0/1 / 1 / 00/ / C2 1/2+ 	1656 208 010 512 220 221 10 000 10 200 200 200 200 200	870 822 182 296 162 122 616 610 500 1 -3 203 512 282 312 100 200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	040 067 106 107 176 176 202 216 007 6-	-11686384832378651095002246250	22 695 145 396 282 470 359 093 537 754	-44650872404026806263517170226	87475964091663148670303074082	-168248261202406774396896258028	320141983848608665933961797186	-613827858236772855763836272346	$1\ 198\ 741\ 273\ 733\ 824\ 166\ 575\ 265\ 793\ 142$	-2360701178771867028398496651684
S(p)	-48 816 119 038	507 516 102 724	-288 652 716 240	1 605 880 660 392	-1407950918758	5398489609494	-6021475295246	17915929204078	-23161191351438	61169203195260	-91439492617463	218285935121478	-347041940934654	75582536721926	-1 261 522 730 127 947	2 689 697 586 459 424	-4794978299078876	9 873 705 455 451 962	-17606769359805002	34 685 584 933 271 312	-63 346 329 725 838 982	126576386179363762	-238791893310090455	467 217 890 189 754 678	-865 360 773 580 474 576	1 655 020 489 419 904 522	-3 119 681 720 859 651 798	6112 229 358 703 831 342	-11 754 183721 345 954 258	22 597 239 603 197 843 510	$-42\ 254\ 381\ 215\ 339\ 002\ 849$	80 119 633 205 161 441 704	-153436526269872506166	299 742 770 352 697 886 058	-578005275119339317137	$1\ 100\ 376\ 713\ 100\ 175\ 425\ 834$	-2066519690614778360502	3925426563659158745246	-7570287289675980312099	14770206114483585630780	-28497105408805663534168	54 009 873 057 404 488 263 124	- 101 365 149 804 767013 432 178	193 074 470 702 855611 598 800	860 640 806 / 46 82 / 706 604 676 - 082 630 050 04 5 230 080 233 552	107 756 050 440 756 000 795 557	7 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	912 386 602 137 676 171 676 177 676 776 776 776 776 77	0 480 116 001 006 000 000 107 107 108 001 005 001 005 001 005 001 005 001 005 001 005 001 005 001 005 001 005 0	-18 605 072 077 077 491 733 374 783	36 AAT 1 40 0 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	200 004 44004 001 001 041 140 0400 	131 003 012 022 022 022 022 022 022 022 022 022	-246912382538210356128227719	476 269 621 022 570 452 892 936 354	-93 592 019 593 491 769 435 721 118	1822666367955366954226762322	-3457328237704527379069614957	6468620061451349324632525978	-12274653298845615056223573114	23895824638927458824334426734	-46949709528735587230164873730
u	20	51	52	53	¥	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	2	65	99	67	89	69	92	12	22	12	14	75	76	1	78	79	8	81	8	83	25	85	86	87	88	68	06	16	5 8	56 J	t e	66	R 8	80	8	2 1 1	101	61	103	101	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112

Table 2. New series terms for the directed square lattice bond problem.

4.1. The square bond series

In this section I will give a detailed account of the analysis of the square bond series which leads to the most accurate estimates. The analysis of the series for the other problems are described summarily in the following sections. In addition to the moment series I have also analysed the series $\mu_{0,2}(p)/\mu_{0,1}(p) \sim (p_c - p)^{-\nu_{\parallel}}$ and the series $\mu_{2,0}(p)\mu_{0,2}(p)/(\mu_{0,1}(p))^2 \sim (p_c - p)^{-2\nu_{\perp}}$.

In order to locate the singularities of the series in a systematic fashion I used the following procedure: I calculate all [L/N; M] and [L/N; M; M] first- and second-order inhomogeneous differential approximants with $|N - M| \leq 1$ and $L \leq 35$, which use more than 95 or 90 terms, respectively. Each approximant yields M possible singularities and associated exponents from the M zeroes of Q_1 or Q_2 , respectively (many of these are of course not actual singularities of the series but merely spurious zeros.) Next these zeroes are sorted into equivalence classes by the criterion that they lie at most a distance 2^{-k} apart. An equivalence class is accepted as a singularity if it contains more than N_c approximants, and an estimate for the singularity and exponent is obtained by averaging over the approximants (the spread among the approximants is also calculated). I used $N_c = 20$ (15) for first-order (second-order) approximants, which means that at least two-thirds to three-quarters of all approximants had to be included before an equivalence class was accepted. The calculation was then repeated for k - 1, k - 2, ... until a minimal value of 8 or so was reached. To avoid outputting well-converged singularities at every level, once an equivalence class has been accepted, the approximants which are members of it are removed, and the subsequent analysis is carried out on the remaining data only. One advantage of this method is that spurious outliers, a few of which will almost always be present when so many approximants are generated, are discarded systematically and automatically.

In table 3 I have listed the estimates for the physical critical point p_c and the associated exponents obtained from the six series that I studied. The errors listed in the parentheses are calculated from the spread among the approximants and equals one standard deviation. Note that these error estimates should *not* be seen as accurately representing the true errors. N_a is the number of approximants included in the estimates.

Generally the estimates for various orders L of the inhomogeneous polynomial are exceptionally well converged and excellent agreement is observed both between the various estimates for each series as well as between the p_c -estimates from the different series. Apart from the first-order approximants for small L to $\mu_{2,0}(p)\mu_{0,2}(p)/(\mu_{0,1}(p))^2$ all estimates for p_c are consistent with the highly accurate value $p_c = 0.64470015(15)$. This slight discrepancy is not important since one generally would expect large L first-order approximants and second-order approximants to yield more reliable estimates. These approximants are better at dealing with analytic background terms or other features which might possibly slow down the convergence of the estimates to the true critical values. Further note that N_a generally is well above the cut-off N_c showing that in most cases only a few approximants are discarded. The uncertainty in the last digits of the p_c -estimate, given in parentheses, is probably on the conservative side, and is mostly due to the tendency of $\mu_{0,1}$ and $\mu_{0,2}$ to favour a somewhat lower estimate for the critical point.

Before proceeding I will consider possible sources of systematic errors. First and foremost the possibility that the estimates might display a systematic drift as the number of terms used is increased and secondly the possibility of numerical errors. The latter possibility is quickly dismissed. The calculations were performed using 128-bit real numbers (REAL*16 on an IBM RISC work station). The estimates from a few approximants were compared to values obtained using MAPLE with up to 100 digits accuracy and this clearly

	First-o	order DA		Second	l-order DA	
L	p_c	γ	Na	p_c	γ	Na
0	0.644 700 51(60)	2.278 32(77)	25	0.644700181(37)	2.277 716(30)	22
5	0.644 700 18(72)	2.27807(71)	25	0.644 700 169(26)	2.277 708(23)	18
10	0.644 700 04(13)	2.277 602(93)	26	0.644700158(41)	2.277 703(34)	23
15	0.644 700 136(29)	2.277 665(56)	23	0.644 700 146(29)	2.776 90(23)	20
20	0.644 700 102(21)	2.277 649(21)	24	0.644700146(17)	2.277 689(14)	18
25	0.644 700 097(49)	2.277 646(42)	23	0.644 700 149(20)	2.277 693(15)	21
30	0.644 700 108(29)	2.277 659(24)	26	0.644 700 162(12)	2.277 704(11)	16
35	0.644 700 129(21)	2.277 678(15)	21	0.644 700 29(22)	2.277 92(42)	22
L	p_c	$ u_{\parallel}$	Na	p_c	$ u_{\parallel}$	Na
0	0.644 700 153(12)	1.733 818 4(50)	22	0.644700169(97)	1.733 845(45)	19
5	0.644 700 154(31)	1.733 818(12)	27	0.644700178(50)	1.733 846(28)	16
10	0.644700115(11)	1.733 807 1(35)	22	0.644 700 171 8(88)	1.733 836 2(42)	20
15	0.644 700 142(33)	1.733 819(21)	22	0.644 700 136(50)	1.733 813(34)	18
20	0.644 700 162(14)	1.733 831 9(78)	25	0.644 700 154(23)	1.733 827(11)	19
25	0.644 700 149(24)	1.733 824(11)	25	0.644 700 142(13)	1.733 821 3(67)	18
30	0.644 700 155 7(63)	1.733 827 9(31)	23	0.644 700 122(34)	1.733 806(25)	21
35	0.644 700 150 3(61)	1.733 825 4(32)	22	0.644 700 164(20)	1.733 831 2(92)	20
L	p_c	$2 u_{\perp}$	Na	p_c	$2\nu_{\perp}$	Na
0	0.644 700 40(13)	2.193 828(55)	22	0.644 700 196(17)	2.193711(11)	17
5	0.644 700 438(94)	2.193 843(36)	22	0.644 700 192(18)	2.193 708(10)	18
10	0.64470041(17)	2.193 826(95)	22	0.644 700 174(47)	2.193 703(29)	17
15	0.644 700 147(17)	2.193 685 2(79)	22	0.644700163(23)	2.193 693(12)	18
20	0.644 700 201(17)	2.1937126(82)	23	0.644 700 217(40)	2.193 722(22)	16
25	0.644 700 200(10)	2.1937132(54)	23	0.644 700 192(28)	2.193 708(13)	16
30	0.644 700 196(10)	2.1937107(51)	23	0.644 700 183(12)	2.193 703 9(64)	17
35	0.644 700 195(14)	2.1937110(69)	23	0.644 700 182(15)	2.193 703 1(84)	18
L	p_c	$\gamma + \nu_{\parallel}$	Na	p_c	$\gamma + \nu_{\parallel}$	Na
0	0.644 700 091(76)	4.011 423(76)	24	0.644700091(32)	4.011 434(35)	18
5	0.644 700 042(74)	4.011 375(65)	25	0.644 700 095(20)	4.011 440(23)	18
10	0.644 700 023(97)	4.011 361(79)	25	0.644 700 079(37)	4.011 413(44)	20
15	0.644 700 071(72)	4.011403(73)	24	0.644 700 105(47)	4.011 455(50)	20
20	0.644 700 015(66)	4.011 350(57)	26	0.644700096(32)	4.011 443(34)	18
25	0.644 700 04(15)	4.011 39(15)	21	0.644700096(63)	4.011 440(73)	19
30	0.644 700 037(68)	4.011 370(59)	24	0.644 700 101(21)	4.011 448(22)	19
35	0.644 700 038(54)	4.011 369(49)	23	0.644 700 090(20)	4.011 438(22)	18
L	p_c	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\parallel}$	Na	p_c	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\parallel}$	Na
0	0.644 700 043(87)	5.745 15(10)	24	0.644700079(19)	5.745 208(29)	18
5	0.644 700 079(96)	5.745 20(13)	24	0.644 700 084(25)	5.745 224(35)	16
10	0.644 700 05(11)	5.745 17(13)	21	0.644700075(29)	5.745 208(37)	17
15	0.644 700 11(10)	5.745 25(17)	22	0.644700075(17)	5.745 213(25)	22
20	0.644 700 051(27)	5.745 156(34)	24	0.644 700 087(38)	5.745 232(51)	17
25	0.644 700 13(17)	5.74531(32)	25	0.644 700 082(22)	5.745 225(32)	18
30	0.644 700 068(45)	5.745 180(57)	21	0.644 700 082(25)	5.745 231(50)	18
35	0.644 699 99(10)	5.745 10(11)	25	0.644 700 091(45)	5.745 231(75)	19

Table 3. Estimates of p_c and critical exponents for the square bond problem.

	Table 3. (C	continued)				
	First-	order DA		Secon	d-order DA	
L	p_c	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\perp}$	Na	p_c	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\perp}$	Na
0	0.644 700 081 9(37)	4.471 298 8(18)	22	0.644 700 119(52)	4.471 341(57)	20
5	0.644 700 080 6(26)	4.471 298 1(13)	23	0.644 700 117(21)	4.471 329(20)	17
10	0.644 700 085 7(78)	4.471 301 7(62)	24	0.644 700 115(46)	4.471 332(46)	16
15	0.644 700 138(69)	4.471 36(10)	21	0.644 700 094(68)	4.471 319(50)	16
20	0.644 700 101(24)	4.471 315(21)	23	0.644 700 132(40)	4.471 351(42)	16
25	0.644 700 101(29)	4.471 316(25)	25	0.644 700 101(16)	4.471 314(14)	16
30	0.644 700 112(21)	4.471 324(19)	21	0.644 700 121(42)	4.471 340(46)	19
35	0.644 700 119(17)	4.471 330(16)	21	0.644 700 114(41)	4.471 334(44)	18



Figure 2. The deviation in the last two digits, $10^8 \Delta p_c$, from the central estimate of the critical point $p_c = 0.64470015$, of the estimates for the critical point by second-order differential approximants. Shown is (from left to right and top to bottom) estimates from the series S(p), $\mu_{0,2}(p)/\mu_{0,1}(p)$, $\mu_{2,0}(p)\mu_{0,2}(p)/(\mu_{0,1}(p))^2$, $\mu_{0,1}(p)$, $\mu_{0,2}(p)$, and $\mu_{2,0}(p)$.

showed that the program was numerically stable and rounding errors were negligible. In order to address the possibility of systematic drift and lack of convergence to the true critical values I refer to figure 2. In this figure I have plotted the deviation in the last two digits, $10^8 \Delta p_c$, from the critical point $p_c = 0.64470015$. Included in the figure are estimates from inhomogeneous second-order differential approximants with $L \leq 35$ to the six series that I have studied. From this figure it is evident that the series estimates displayed on the top row are well converged once the number of terms exceeds 90 or so, while the series on the bottom row still show evidence of a systematic drift and the estimates have not yet converged to their asymptotic value. This is particularly manifest for the series $\mu_{0,1}$ and $\mu_{0,2}$ shown in the bottom left and central panels. Since these series were the ones responsible for most of the error on the estimate for p_c , and given the very good convergence of the estimates from the series shown in the top row, it does not seem overly optimistic to adopt

the tighter estimate $p_c = 0.64770015(5)$. Clearly the large majority of estimates for the first three series lie well within this error-bound as the number of terms increase and likewise the estimates from the remaining series clearly seem to converge towards this value.

Next I turn my attention to the estimates for the critical exponents. Very precise estimates for γ , ν_{\parallel} , and $2\nu_{\perp}$ can be obtained by examining table 3. I have used a slightly more systematic and enlightening procedure. Close to the critical point there is an apparent linear dependence of the estimates for critical exponents on the estimates for p_c . One can use this to obtain improved estimates for the exponents by performing a linear fit of the exponent estimates as a function of Δp_c (the distance from the critical point). The result of such linear fits is listed below. In these fits I used the same set of approximants as those on which the estimates in the tables above were based. But I discarded any approximant for which $|\Delta p_c| = |p_c - 0.64470015| > 0.00000015$. The error on the 'pure' exponent part of the estimates mainly reflects the slight difference between the first- and second-order approximants (the errors as listed are approximately twice this difference). In the estimates for γ and $\gamma + 2\nu_{\perp}$ I used only the first-order approximants with $L \ge 15$.

$$\begin{split} \gamma &= 2.277\ 690(10) \pm 750 \Delta p_c \\ \nu_{\parallel} &= 1.733\ 824(3) \pm 500 \Delta p_c \\ 2\nu_{\perp} &= 2.193\ 687(2) \pm 500 \Delta p_c \\ \gamma &+ \nu_{\parallel} &= 4.011\ 495(15) \pm 1150 \Delta p_c \\ \gamma &+ 2\nu_{\parallel} &= 5.745\ 308(15) \pm 1400 \Delta p_c \\ \gamma &+ 2\nu_{\perp} &= 4.471\ 368(3) \pm 1000 \Delta p_c. \end{split}$$
(4.3)

As can be seen the exponent estimates are very precise. Even with the very small error in the p_c -estimate, this is still the major source of error (by an order of magnitude) in the exponent estimates. As previously noted [6], there is no simple rational fraction whose decimal expansion agrees with the estimate of β obtained from the percolation-probability series. The same is true for the estimates of v_{\parallel} and $2v_{\perp}$ listed above. In particular note that the rational fraction suggested by Essam *et al* [4], $v_{\parallel} = 26/15 = 1.733333...$, and $2v_{\perp} = 79/36 = 2.19444...$, is incompatible with the estimates. The rational fraction suggested for $\gamma = 41/18 = 2.277777...$ lies within the error bounds for the exponent estimate if the error on p_c exceeds 10^{-7} . So the more conservative error estimate listed earlier would just include the suggested value of γ . However, most of the estimates in table 3 clearly exclude the exact fraction as does the more narrow error estimate on p_c . Finally I note that the better converged estimates for $\gamma + 2v_{\perp}$ and $2v_{\perp}$ yields the estimate $\gamma = 2.277681(5)$, which, within the error, agrees with the direct estimate but points to a possibly slightly lower value of γ .

The estimate for p_c advocated above lies within the error-bounds of that obtained from the percolation probability series [6] $p_c = 0.6447006(10)$, though a lower central value is favoured by the series analysed in this paper. From the scaling relation $\beta = (\nu_{\parallel} + \nu_{\perp} - \gamma)/2$ I obtain the estimate $\beta = 0.276489(7) \pm 750 \Delta p_c$, which is consistent with the direct estimate $\beta = 0.27643(10)$. It is quite likely that the minor discrepancies between the central values would disappear if the percolation probability series could be extended from the 55 terms in [6] to an order comparable to the series analysed here. Evidence to this effect is provided by the biased estimate $\beta = 0.276483(14)$ calculated at $p_c = 0.64470015$ using Dlog Padé approximants utilizing at least 45 terms of the percolation-probability series.

I also analysed the series in order to estimate the leading confluent exponents Δ_1 . As was the case for the percolation-probability series both the Baker–Hunter transformation and the method of Adler, Moshe and Privman (see [6] and references therein for details

regarding these methods) yielded estimates consistent with $\Delta_1 = 1$. So there are no signs of non-analytic corrections to scaling.

Finally I looked for non-physical singularities of the series. The series have a singularity on the negative axis closer to the origin than p_c . This singularity is quite weak and consequently the estimates for its location and the associated exponents are quite inaccurate. The singularity is located at $p_{-} = -0.5168(5)$ and the associated exponents are quite $\gamma = 0.065(15)$, $\nu_{\parallel} = 0.97(3)$ and $2\nu_{\perp} = 0.90(15)$. It is quite possible that the divergence of the cluster length series at p_{-} is logarithmic and the estimates are certainly consistent with $\gamma = 0$, $\nu_{\parallel} = 1$ and $\nu_{\perp} = \frac{1}{2}$. Finally there is some weak evidence of a pair of singularities in the complex *p*-plane at $p_{\pm} = -0.2255(15) \pm 0.440(1)i$. Note that this singularity pair also lies within the physical disc. The exponent estimates at p_{\pm} are not very accurate. The cluster size series seems to *converge* with exponent $\gamma \simeq -3$, while $\nu_{\parallel} \simeq 1$ and $\nu_{\perp} \simeq \frac{1}{2}$, but the error on these estimates are as large as 25–50%.

4.2. The square site series

In table 4 I have listed some of the estimates for p_c and critical exponents obtained from an analysis of the square site series. The estimates are based on approximants using at least 85–90 terms with $N_c = 15$. Though the length of the series is comparable to the bond case the estimates are generally less accurate. In particular it should be noted that the p_c -estimates obtained from different series are only marginally consistent leading to the rather poor estimate, $p_c = 0.7054850(15)$, which is at least an order of magnitude less accurate than in the bond case. Some exponent estimates differ significantly from those of the bond case. Particularly γ and $\gamma + 2\nu_{\parallel}$ are generally quite a bit smaller than the bond estimates. However, due to the discrepancy between the various site series, the importance of this deviation is questionable. If the error-bar on p_c is accepted, the resulting exponent estimates from the site series will agree with the bond estimates.

If one accepts the exponent estimates from the bond series one can use the linear dependence between p_c and exponent estimates to obtain improved estimates for p_c . (This is just the reverse of the method used in the previous section to obtain the exponent estimates.) By performing a linear fit of the p_c -estimates as a function of the deviation of the exponent estimate from the central values listed in the previous section I obtain the estimates differ by less than 0.001 from the central values. This estimate agrees with that obtained from the percolation-probability series [6] $p_c = 0.705485(5)$.

The square site series have a singularity on the negative axis closer to the origin then p_c . In this case the singularity appears to be stronger than in the bond case, i.e. the various estimates are better converged. The singularity is located at $p_{-} = -0.4519522(3)$ and the associated exponents are quite possibly consistent with $\gamma = -\frac{1}{2}$ (i.e. the cluster-size series *converges*), $v_{\parallel} = 1$ and $v_{\perp} = \frac{1}{2}$. There is firm evidence of a pair of singularities in the complex *p*-plane at $p_{\pm} = -0.2263(1) \pm 0.3847(1)i$, which is within the physical disc. The exponent estimates at this pair of singularities are quite accurate. The cluster-size series seems to *converge*, with $\gamma \simeq -3$, while $v_{\parallel} \simeq 1$ and $v_{\perp} \simeq \frac{1}{2}$, where errors on the estimates are only a few per cent.

4.3. The triangular bond series

Table 5 lists a selection of estimates for p_c and critical exponents obtained from the analysis of the triangular bond series. The estimates are based on approximants using at least 45 or

Table 4.	Estimates	of p_a	and	critical	exponents	for the	square	site problem.	
----------	-----------	----------	-----	----------	-----------	---------	--------	---------------	--

	First-o	rder DA		Second	-order DA	
L	<i>p</i> _c	γ	Na	p_c	γ	Na
0	0.705 483 90(20)	2.276 850(66)	19	0.705 485 00(26)	2.277 51(15)	17
5	0.705 484 09(20)	2.276 924(88)	23	0.705 485 16(28)	2.277 60(18)	18
10	0.705 484 41(35)	2.277 21(30)	24	0.705 484 72(19)	2.277 334(95)	17
15	0.705 484 594(68)	2.277 232(33)	23	0.705 484 71(14)	2.277 314(74)	19
20	0.705 484 805(72)	2.277 364(39)	24	0.705 484 86(36)	2.277 42(25)	20
25	0.705 484 723(82)	2.277 319(46)	20	0.705 484 671(58)	2.277 295(35)	16
30	0.705 484 811(34)	2.277 367(18)	21	0.705 484 689(29)	2.277 306(16)	16
35	0.705 484 850(62)	2.277 389(31)	21	0.705 484 713(83)	2.277 313(39)	17
L	p_c	ν_{\parallel}	Na	p_c	ν_{\parallel}	Na
0	0.705 484 49(93)	1.733 47(25)	19	0.705 484 96(30)	1.733 70(10)	16
5	0.705 484 27(28)	1.733 416(72)	23	0.705 484 91(23)	1.733 686(84)	16
10	0.705 484 85(36)	1.733 66(14)	20	0.705 485 020(95)	1.733729(25)	16
15	0.705 485 13(26)	1.733 763(88)	23	0.705 484 91(34)	1.733 69(12)	18
20	0.705 485 65(53)	1.733 97(20)	22	0.705 484 80(17)	1.733 650(66)	19
25	0.705 485 75(33)	1.734 03(12)	23	0.705 484 70(21)	1.733 608(93)	17
30	0.705 485 60(63)	1.733 96(28)	19	0.705 484 43(26)	1.733 50(11)	16
35	0.705 485 45(43)	1.733 88(17)	24	0.705 484 52(21)	1.733 548(84)	16
L	p_c	$2\nu_{\perp}$	Na	p_c	$2\nu_{\perp}$	Na
0	0.705 486 9(13)	2.194 45(46)	19	0.705 486 50(23)	2.194 33(21)	19
5	0.705 486 87(57)	2.194 47(16)	19	0.705 486 47(23)	2.194 34(13)	16
10	0.705 485 1(15)	2.193 97(33)	21	0.705 486 49(12)	2.194 254(51)	16
15	0.705 485 7(10)	2.194 00(39)	19	0.705 485 77(24)	2.194 033(76)	20
20	0.705 486 6(16)	2.194 34(53)	19	0.705 485 89(42)	2.194 06(13)	21
25	0.705 486 0(10)	2.194 12(42)	19	0.705 485 85(24)	2.194 048(81)	17
30	0.705 486 0(12)	2.194 10(45)	20	0.705 485 60(65)	2.19391(28)	18
35	0.705 486 2(13)	2.194 08(53)	20	0.705 485 15(78)	2.19376(31)	17
L	<i>p</i> _c	$\gamma + \nu_{\parallel}$	Na	p_c	$\gamma + \nu_{\parallel}$	Na
0	0.705 483 65(38)	4.009 89(23)	19	0.705 484 03(70)	4.01023(58)	18
5	0.705 483 81(17)	4.010 00(12)	23	0.705 484 38(33)	4.01047(39)	16
10	0.705 483 85(42)	4.010.05(29)	25	0.705 484 41(34)	4.010.55(30)	16
15	0.705 483 62(55)	4.009 94(38)	24	0.705 484 30(51)	4.01046(44)	21
20	0.705 483 49(30)	4.009 79(20)	19	0.705 484 24(34)	4.01041(28)	18
25	0 705 483 80(43)	4 010 06(30)	22	0 705 484 50(65)	4 010 67(65)	21
30	0.70548380(21)	4 009 99(14)	21	0 705 484 28(21)	4 010 43(18)	16
35	0.705 483 78(61)	4.010 02(43)	23	0.705 484 47(33)	4.010 61(32)	19
L	<i>Pc</i>	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\parallel}$	Na	<i>p</i> _c	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\parallel}$	Na
0	0.705 483 58(35)	5.743 11(21)	19	0.705 484 60(45)	5.744 20(51)	19
5	0.705 483 55(20)	5.743 07(14)	19	0.705 484 43(18)	5.744 00(20)	17
10	0.705 484 04(60)	5.743 58(65)	23	0.705 484 34(18)	5.74392(21)	17
15	0.705 483 82(10)	5.743 299(94)	19	0.705 484 31(52)	5.743 90(62)	20
20	0.705 483 79(15)	5.743 27(14)	22	0.705 484 15(22)	5.743 69(24)	18
25	0.705 483 75(16)	5.743 21(13)	22	0.705 484 00(10)	5.743 52(10)	16
30	0.705 483 68(16)	5.743 17(14)	19	0.705 484 22(25)	5.74377(30)	16
35	0.705 483 87(24)	5.743 34(22)	25	0.705 484 74(65)	5.744 49(85)	19
	. ,					

	First-o	order DA		Second	l-order DA	
L	p_c	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\perp}$	Na	p_c	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\perp}$	Na
0	0.705 483 8(33)	4.4729(94)	19	0.705 484 57(13)	4.47071(10)	20
5	0.705 484 58(16)	4.470 69(11)	19	0.705 484 60(10)	4.470740(93)	16
10	0.705 484 63(16)	4.47072(10)	20	0.705 484 57(11)	4.470 695(93)	19
15	0.705 484 77(19)	4.47084(15)	19	0.705 484 73(27)	4.470 84(25)	21
20	0.705 484 43(43)	4.470 61(26)	20	0.705 484 72(17)	4.47081(15)	17
25	0.705 484 49(47)	4.470 66(30)	20	0.705 484 80(49)	4.470 89(45)	19
30	0.705 484 75(42)	4.470 87(37)	19	0.705 484 2(13)	4.4704(11)	17
35	0.705 484 69(22)	4.47078(18)	19	0.705 485 1(13)	4.4713(12)	20

Table 4. (Continued)

40 terms with $N_c = 15$ or 10 for first and second order, respectively. As one would expect, due to the shorter series, the estimates are generally encumbered with larger errors than was the case for the square bond series. The estimates for v_{\parallel} and $2v_{\perp}$ are generally consistent with those from the square bond series, while the remaining exponent estimates exceeds those from the square bond case. The linear fit of p_c to the deviation of the exponent estimates from the values favoured by the square bond series yields $p_c = 0.478\,025(1)$, which is in excellent agreement with the estimate $p_c = 0.478\,02(1)$ from the percolation-probability series [7]. The triangular bond series does not appear to have any non-physical singularities.

4.4. The triangular site series

In table 6 I have listed some estimates for p_c and critical exponents obtained from an analysis of the triangular site series similar to that for the bond problem. In this case all exponent estimates are consistent with the square bond case. The biased estimate for p_c based on the usual fitting procedure is $p_c = 0.595\,646\,8(5)$ in excellent agreement with the estimate $p_c = 0.595\,647\,2(10)$ from the percolation probability series [7]. Again there is no compelling evidence for non-physical singularities.

5. Summary and discussion

From the analysis presented in the previous section it was clear that the square bond series yield by far the most accurate p_c -estimates which in turn enables one to obtain very precise estimates for the critical exponents. The remaining cases yielded less accurate estimates. Though the square site and triangular bond cases tended to yield exponent estimates only marginally consistent with the square bond estimates, the p_c estimates showed less consistency among the various series. In the square site case this could possibly be caused by the presence of rather strong non-physical singularities closer to the origin than p_c . The triangular site estimates. I have therefore chosen to base my final exponent estimates mainly on the square bond series.

From figure 2 it would appear that the estimate $p_c = 0.64470015(5)$ is fully consistent with the data and not overly optimistic. With this highly accurate p_c value one can obtain very accurate exponent estimates using the values listed in (4.3). The values of the critical exponents for the average cluster size, parallel and perpendicular connectedness lengths are

	First-	order DA		Second	l-order DA	
L	p_c	γ	Na	p_c	γ	Na
0	0.478 026 8(13)	2.278 50(35)	21	0.478 025 48(13)	2.277 976(80)	15
4	0.478 025 96(10)	2.278 170(47)	16	0.478 025 78(42)	2.278 09(21)	14
8	0.478 026 14(10)	2.278 242(64)	16	0.478 025 60(16)	2.278 054(48)	11
12	0.478 026 02(42)	2.278 19(14)	20	0.478 025 79(27)	2.278 093(91)	14
16	0.478 025 99(29)	2.278 19(10)	18	0.478 026 05(50)	2.278 20(19)	17
L	p_c	v_{\parallel}	Na	p_c	ν_{\parallel}	Na
0	0.478 027 2(19)	1.734 35(30)	17	0.478 026 24(79)	1.734 13(18)	17
4	0.478 025 5(10)	1.734 04(33)	17	0.478 025 85(59)	1.734 04(17)	12
8	0.478 025 51(57)	1.733 98(16)	16	0.478 026 4(10)	1.734 17(30)	15
12	0.478 025 6(18)	1.734 03(53)	19	0.478 025 36(79)	1.733 92(22)	11
16	0.478 024 4(25)	1.733 65(65)	18	0.478 027 3(19)	1.734 41(52)	15
L	p_c	$2\nu_{\perp}$	Na	p_c	$2\nu_{\perp}$	Na
0	0.478 027 16(70)	2.194 29(16)	18	0.478 026 0(10)	2.193 89(23)	17
4	0.478 026 83(80)	2.194 20(15)	17	0.478 026 1(17)	2.193 95(54)	14
8	0.478 024 74(53)	2.193 55(15)	16	0.478 024 6(12)	2.193 55(33)	14
12	0.478 025 1(28)	2.193 67(71)	18	0.478 024 4(12)	2.193 49(36)	14
16	0.478 024 7(11)	2.193 54(35)	17	0.478 025 22(40)	2.193 69(11)	11
L	p_c	$\gamma + \nu_{\parallel}$	Na	p_c	$\gamma + \nu_{\parallel}$	Na
0	0.478 026 76(52)	4.012 59(28)	18	0.478 026 65(24)	4.012 624(79)	13
4	0.478 026 70(47)	4.01261(14)	20	0.478 026 86(12)	4.012 693(33)	13
8	0.478 026 45(51)	4.012 51(22)	19	0.478 026 66(17)	4.012 649(45)	11
12	0.478 026 12(59)	4.01236(30)	17	0.478 026 53(68)	4.01244(54)	16
16	0.478 026 22(45)	4.01243(21)	16	0.478 026 82(16)	4.012688(36)	11
L	<i>p</i> _c	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\parallel}$	Na	p_c	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\parallel}$	Na
0	0.478 025 4(17)	5.7456(17)	17	0.478 026 4(16)	5.7464(14)	13
4	0.478 025 1(10)	5.745 66(95)	19	0.478 026 6(24)	5.7460(20)	13
8	0.478 025 2(11)	5.7457(11)	17	0.478 026 4(19)	5.7461(16)	17
12	0.478 025 66(33)	5.74623(26)	16	0.478 025 4(10)	5.7457(11)	16
16	0.478 025 88(78)	5.74633(52)	18	0.478 026 3(18)	5.7463(12)	17
L	p_c	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\perp}$	Na	p_c	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\perp}$	Na
0	0.478 026 16(38)	4.47228(18)	16	0.478 025 85(24)	4.472 04(14)	13
4	0.478 026 32(82)	4.47234(41)	17	0.478 025 70(52)	4.471 91(33)	14
8	0.478 025 89(47)	4.472 14(23)	17	0.478 026 37(54)	4.47235(31)	11
12	0.478 025 66(48)	4.471 96(31)	18	0.478 026 24(50)	4.47228(31)	13
16	0.478 026 18(31)	4.47228(15)	17	0.478 026 10(42)	4.47218(23)	12

Table 5. Estimates of p_c and critical exponents for the triangular bond problem.

estimated by $\gamma = 2.277\,69(4)$, $\nu_{\parallel} = 1.733\,825(25)$ and $\nu_{\perp} = 1.096\,844(14)$, respectively. An improved estimate for the percolation probability exponent is obtained from the scaling relation $\beta = (\nu_{\parallel} + \nu_{\perp} - \gamma)/2 = 0.276\,49(4)$. As already noted these estimates are generally incompatible with the exact fractions conjectured by Essam *et al* [4]. Only γ is marginally consistent with the suggested fraction, $\gamma = 41/18 = 2.77\,777\ldots$, if a larger error-bar were adopted for p_c .

Table 6. Estimates of p_c and critical exponents for the triangular site problem.

	First-o	order DA		Second	-order DA	
L	p_c	γ	Na	<i>p</i> _c	γ	Na
0	0.595 647 31(31)	2.277 848(67)	16	0.595 645 98(71)	2.277 49(16)	18
4	0.595 646 41(30)	2.277 597(79)	18	0.595 646 5(13)	2.277 55(64)	16
8	0.595 646 64(41)	2.277 67(12)	18	0.595 646 81(10)	2.277 708(28)	12
12	0.595 646 53(27)	2.277 628(81)	16	0.595 646 67(20)	2.277 672(64)	13
16	0.595 646 84(78)	2.277 72(22)	18	0.595 646 59(32)	2.277 662(84)	12
L	p_c	$ u_{\parallel}$	N_a	<i>Pc</i>	$ u_{\parallel}$	Na
0	0.595 646 56(15)	1.733766(15)	16	0.595 646 75(45)	1.733 796(53)	15
4	0.595 645 4(11)	1.733 58(18)	16	0.595 646 62(60)	1.73378(11)	11
8	0.595 645 9(88)	1.7336(17)	16	0.595 644 8(32)	1.733 44(74)	11
12	0.595 647 6(31)	1.73407(68)	16	0.595 645 7(13)	1.733 61(29)	11
16	0.595 650 7(29)	1.73477(65)	16	0.595 643 2(58)	1.7328(15)	15
L	p_c	$2\nu_{\perp}$	N_a	<i>Pc</i>	$2\nu_{\perp}$	Na
0	0.595 650(12)	2.1943(37)	16	0.595 647 0(38)	2.1938(12)	14
4	0.595 655 5(49)	2.1958(11)	16	0.595 647 7(10)	2.193 97(25)	11
8	0.595 648 9(14)	2.194 25(30)	17	0.595 647 53(88)	2.193 97(24)	11
12	0.595 646 9(73)	2.1938(15)	16	0.595 645 7(22)	2.193 57(42)	12
16	0.595 647 3(10)	2.193 87(22)	16	0.595 648 5(18)	2.19411(37)	16
L	<i>p</i> _c	$\gamma + \nu_{\parallel}$	Na	рс	$\gamma + \nu_{\parallel}$	Na
0	0.595 643 5(26)	4.01006(80)	18	0.595 645 3(22)	4.0108(10)	15
4	0.595 644 6(16)	4.01036(54)	16	0.595 647 6(46)	4.0122(24)	17
8	0.595 645 42(67)	4.01064(27)	17	0.595 647 29(73)	4.011 68(46)	11
12	0.595 644 89(48)	4.01041(20)	16	0.595 647 19(88)	4.011 68(49)	11
16	0.595 644 95(28)	4.01047(10)	17	0.595 645 0(12)	4.01057(55)	11
L	<i>p</i> _c	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\parallel}$	Na	<i>p</i> _c	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\parallel}$	Na
0	0.595 648 4(66)	5.7469(60)	17	0.595 644 4(17)	5.743 86(91)	11
4	0.595 644 0(29)	5.7437(10)	16	0.595 644 2(28)	5.7438(16)	12
8	0.595 649 2(45)	5.7468(31)	18	0.595 643 2(32)	5.7433(12)	13
12	0.595 646 3(37)	5.7448(24)	17	0.595 646 2(20)	5.7448(13)	12
16	0.595 645 7(15)	5.744 40(85)	17	0.595 646 5(13)	5.745 02(80)	12
L	p_c	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\perp}$	Na	<i>p</i> _c	$\gamma + 2\nu_{\perp}$	Na
0	0.595 647 7(11)	4.471 67(39)	16	0.595 647 15(31)	4.471 61(13)	12
4	0.595 647 48(19)	4.471776(73)	17	0.595 647 06(43)	4.471 56(17)	14
8	0.595 647 49(26)	4.471 770(98)	17	0.595 647 24(29)	4.471 64(12)	12
12	0.595 647 56(33)	4.47179(12)	16	0.595 647 44(81)	4.47170(29)	14
16	0.595 647 58(42)	4.471 80(15)	17	0.595 647 29(15)	4.471 670(61)	12

Below I have listed improved estimates for a number of critical exponents obtained using various scaling relations.

$$\Delta = \beta + \gamma = 2.554 \, 18(8)$$

$$\tau = \nu_{\parallel} - \beta = 1.457 \, 34(7)$$

$$z = \nu_{\parallel} / \nu_{\perp} = 1.580 \, 74(4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma' &= \gamma - \nu_{\parallel} = 0.543\,86(7) \\ \delta &= \beta/\nu_{\parallel} = 0.159\,47(3) \\ \eta &= \gamma/\nu_{\parallel} - 1 = 0.313\,68(4). \end{aligned}$$

Here Δ is the exponent characterizing the scale of the cluster size distribution, τ is the cluster length exponent, z is the dynamical critical exponent, γ' the exponent characterizing the steady-state fluctuations of the order parameter, while δ and η characterize the behaviour at p_c as $t \to \infty$ of the survival probability and average number of particles, respectively.

Assuming that the exponent estimates from the square bond case are correct, improved p_c -estimates were obtained for the three other problems studied in this paper. These are:

$$p_c = 0.705\,485\,3(5)$$
 square site
 $p_c = 0.478\,025(1)$ triangular bond
 $p_c = 0.595\,646\,8(5)$ triangular site.

Finally I note, that the analysis of the various series, in order to determine the value of the confluent exponent, yielded estimates consistent with $\Delta_1 \simeq 1$. Thus there is no evidence of non-analytic confluent correction terms. This provides a hint that the models might be exactly solvable.

E-mail or WWW retrieval of series

The series and the coefficients in the extrapolation formulae for the directed percolation problems on the various lattices can be obtained via e-mail by sending a request to iwan@maths.mu.oz.au or via the world wide web on the URL http://www.maths.mu.oz.au/~iwan/ by following the relevant links.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank G X Viennot for providing the reference to the article by Shapiro. The series enumerations were performed on the Intel Paragon parallel computer at the University of Melbourne. The work was supported by a grant from the Australian Research Council.

Appendix. The extrapolation formulae and series for the square site, triangular bond and triangular site problems

A.1. The square site problem

The sequence determining the first correction term for S^N starts out as

 $s_{t,0} = 1, 0, 1, 2, 6, 18, 57, 186, 622, 2120, 7338, \dots$

from which one sees that $2s_{t,0} + s_{t-1,0} = C_{t-1}$. Shapiro [15] has given an interpretation of this sequence by adding diagonals in a certain Catalan triangle.

At first glance one might find it strange that the correction term differs from the bond case, since clearly all the non-nodal bond graphs that give rise to the first correction term have their counterparts as site graphs. In the following I shall always be talking only of non-nodal graphs consisting of two equal-length paths. The reason for the difference is quite simply that for some graphs the *d*-weight in (3.3) is 0 for the *site* graph but non-zero for the bond graph. A schematic representation of such a graph is shown in figure A1. A proof of this was given by Arrowsmith and Essam [16], who showed that d(g) is non-zero for the difference is non-zero for the difference is given by Arrowsmith and Essam [16], who showed that d(g) is non-zero for the strain of the str



Figure A1. Schematic pictorial representation of a non-nodal graph which contributes to S^N in the bond problem but *not* in the site problem.

if and only if g is coverable by a set of directed paths and has no circuit (or loop). From figure A1 we see that in the bond case the graph obtained by putting in the bonds a–b and c–d has no loops. However, in the site case there is a loop from the origin to point d and this graph does, therefore, not contribute in the site case. On the other hand it is clear that for any contributing site graph there is a corresponding contributing bond graph. So the contributing site graphs form a subset of the bond graphs.

In order to prove the formula for $s_{t,0}$ it is convenient to give another interpretation of the loop-free non-nodal graphs. Let us first characterize the graphs by the distance k between the paths. Since the graphs start and end with k = 0, and the distance zero appears nowhere else along the graph, these two 'steps' can be deleted. It is clear that in each step (increase of t by one) k changes by 0 or ± 1 . When k is unchanged there are two configurations corresponding to both paths moving either south-east or south-west, while for changes of ± 1 there is just one configuration. The non-nodal graphs are thus in bijection with paths of length t - 1 starting and ending at the ground level, which can take north-east, east and south-east steps, and where east steps come in two varieties or colours (such paths are known as *two-colour Motzkin paths*). It is one of the fundamental results of combinatorics that the number of two-colour Motzkin paths of length n - 1 is C_n . It is easy to see that loop-free non-nodal graphs form the subset where the distance between paths is never 1 twice in a row, i.e. if $k_n = 1$ then $k_{n+1} = 2$. These graphs are in bijection with two-colour Motzkin paths with no east steps on the ground level.



Figure A2. Typical two-colour Motzkin path with no east steps on the ground level.

Figure A2 shows an example of a two-colour Motzkin path with no east steps on the ground level. It is clear that all paths formed by taking the parts of the original path lying one level above the ground level (those above the dotted line), are ordinary unrestricted two-

colour Motzkin paths, and these paths are therefore enumerated by the Catalan numbers. The number of no-loop non-nodal graphs can therefore be expressed in terms of Catalan numbers, by summing over the number of times m the associated restricted two-colour Motzkin path meets the ground level prior to the terminal point. Let D_n denote the number of two-colour Motzkin paths of length n with no east steps on the ground level. The number of such two-colour Motzkin paths, $D_{n,0}$, which does not hit the ground level prior to n is simply C_{n-1} because the path obtained by deleting the first and last step is an ordinary two-colour Motzkin path of length n - 2. The number of restricted two-colour Motzkin paths the ground level once is,

$$D_{n,1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-4} C_{k+1} C_{n-4-k+1} = \sum_{i+j=n-2} C_i C_j \qquad i, j \ge 1$$

This formula is simply obtained by noting that the path to the left of the point where the restricted path meets the ground level for the first time can have a length k ranging from 0 to n - 4 (the four steps connecting the ground level to the level above are discarded) while the length of the second path is n - 4 - k. Obviously the number of left and right paths are just C_{k+1} and $C_{n-4-k+1}$, independently, which leads to the formula above once we sum over the length of the left path. The generalization to $D_{n,m}$ is obvious

$$D_{n,m} = \sum_{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_m=n-m-1} C_{i_1}C_{i_2}\cdots C_{i_m} \qquad i_1,\ldots,i_m \ge 1, m \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1.$$

The sum $D_n = \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} D_{n,m}$ is exactly the same as that obtained by Shapiro [15] by adding diagonals in the Catalan triangle.

The higher-order correction terms are quite complicated though still expressible as linear functions of $s_{t,0}$,

$$2^{r}(r+1)!s_{t,r} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_{a}} a_{r,k}s_{t-r+k-1,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \binom{t-r}{k} [b_{r,k}(s_{t-r-1,0}+2s_{t-r,0}) + c_{r,k}s_{t-r,0}]$$
(A.1)

where $n_a = r - 1 + \max(\lfloor r/2 \rfloor, 2)$. This representation leads to particularly simple coefficients $c_{r,k}$, since $c_{r,r-m}2^4/(r+1)!$ are expressible as polynomials in r of order m for r > m.

The sequence determining the first correction term for X^N starts out as

 $x_{t,0} = 0, 0, 0, 2, 8, 34, 136, 538, 2112, 8264, \ldots$

In this case $x_{t,0} = u(t + 1)$ is determined by the following recurrence relation

$$u(0) = 0 u(1) = 0 u(2) = 0 u(3) = 2 u(4) = 8$$

$$u(t+5) = [(2+4t)u(t) + (10+13t)u(t+1) + (63/2+25/2t)u(t+2) + (4+2t)u(t+3) + (-53/2-11/2t)u(t+4)]/(t+6).$$

The formulae for the higher-order correction terms are complicated though still expressible as functions of $x_{t,0}$,

$$6^{r+1}(r+1)!x_{t,r} = \sum_{k=0}^{2r} a_{r,k}x_{t-r+k-3,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \binom{t-r}{k} [b_{r,k}x_{t-r-4,0} + c_{r,k}x_{t-r-3,0}] + (t-r)([d_{r,1} + (t-r-1)d_{r,2}/2]x_{t-r-2,0} + [d_{r,3} + (t-r-1)d_{r,4}/2]x_{t-r-1,0}).$$
(A.2)

u	S(p)	(<i>a</i>) 1011	(<i>a</i>) < 0 <i>m</i>	(<i>a</i>) <i>v cn</i>
	Ĵ		- O.T	
49	-3 989 867 712 261	-89 398 788 718 610	-1 997 686213 754 238	- 33 424766 465 974
50	8 580 315 717912	196529687645088	4518812046618304	74 612 004 326 120
51	-18 450 741 974659	-430495003001046	-10 037 202 119 113 882	-162 365 675 913 426
27	397/14443919946 864075074	945 547 880 / 90 844	7/1/100967/0/0277	359 369 023 440 940
C 13	- 00 196 100 196 - 00 196 106 106 106 106 106 106 106 106 106 10	042 042 672 474 474 727 240 240	701 242 240 242 242 242 242 242 242 242 242	- / 00 014 200 316 250 320 200
22	-396 886 210 803 357	-9 988 878 134 103 694	-251 571 214569 296 384	-3 808 660 144 091 956
56	855 734 249 183 509	21 932 260 509 927 964	562 892 405 947 427 952	8 388 677 741 860 840
57	-1845825000749297	-48 144 989 472 917 392	-1256868058330309144	-18428858054805456
58	3 983 384 787 346 219	105 726 756 215 995 148	2 809 559 318045 916 036	40 560 117 237 064 492
59	-8599535636965444	-232161203280983216	-6273640218845134136	-89163845530458120
99 (18 572 320 618 806137	509 899 256 031 942 796	14 014 639 196725 078 868	196 179 493 197 815 148
19	-40 124 529 644 388 180 87 700 157 055 100 481	-1 119 917 082 819 437 502	-31 288 707 556698 610 790	-431 410 133 430 835 366 040 103 352 044 367 369
70	10160000000000000000000000000000000000	2 400 124 423 304 202 400 2 400 2 202 402 202 402 202 402 202 2	000 066 770 / 00 700 700 60 1990 100 021 109 220 000 331	000 000 110 007 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
8 7		- 3 404 393 040 363 404 393 7040 364 303 902 11 874 858 603 313 744 130	405 T09 07 T T00 77 0 068 CCT	240 0/7 2020/10 602 /2017
5 3	-877 426 805 166 151 991	-26093306262441552830	-7767263851630367871300	-10103619566512091258
99	1 899 030 133 479 082 710	57 341 753 939 024 263 200	1 733 139 806 171 397 955 248	22 229 577 257 948 816 928
67	-4111343988945455470	-126021332759023525888	-3866501287920863924260	-48 907 979 301 629 134 116
68	8 903 649 049 790 473 757	276 984 891 538 277 258 264	8 624 938 634 269764 601 752	107612749966418186776
69	-19287966769691177647	-608845025562943897104	-19236751196703556849764	-236787215239641195332
70	41 796 381 922 966 439 485	1388441468813888582992	42 900 449 394 862 448 744 324	521 053 631 653 640 266 324
17	-90 598 011 496 116 317 009	-294258834805572798924	-95 661 510 876 264 852 436 682	-1146629680458206972302
7 52	196454 05/ 11/ 134 19500 0801111192000310000	0 409 843 383 401 094 502 448 14 776 191 700 514 906 067 494	215 280 441 / C/ C4 442 / 2018 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 20	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
C/ 1/2	001111 410 476 610 024	404 706 000 41 0 407 101 77 41	000 200 700 200 000 000 000 0450	070 750 064 600 07 6 606 606 0 – MUC 312 9129 923 828 C 11 2 400 C 1
75	-2005335678304212930642	-68797304028652689337280	-2362307477572924432972394	-26902131263080690712678
76	4 352 442 737 004 987 018437	151308488974126286057844	5 264 658 663 276 025 029 764 160	59214386284087515891824
77	-9449058961219086599817	-332803963349353164835862	-11731710535855830921986156	-130343092179640177080016
78	20 518 626 196 069 403 747 527	732 056 224 712 682 938 741 308	26 140 275 838 901 762 860 484 152	286925229989719714345800
62 %	-44566294136459273950057	-1610379469736015604828142	-58 239 279 737 889 211 493 881 184	-631637066943482168917668
00 10	90 818 818 012 18/ 9// 898 2/3 210 201 200 600 127 675 219 700	5542 /54 058 940 05/ 11/ 182 184 7704 502 613 502 770 629 064 776	129 /41 /90 94/ /20 603 014 /22 00 740 010 200 002 002 017 179 152 200 750 010	1 390 343 01/ 337 046 212 793 804 3 061 304 805 673 074 370 554 600
5 5	200202121012120000000000000000000000000	0/7 100 000 0/7 000 010 000 100 100 100 100 000 100 10	016 00/ 065754 0/4 // 0 500 500 507	-2 001 234 602 724 274 202 272 2024 030 5 740 206 024 136 201 366 130 132
. 8	-993 998 356 903 718 319 199 641	-37734364348756216753502994	-1433638977288645999020939660	-14840423239587571243043080
2	2 161 289 069 292 339 668 165 416	83034651548600236802398900	3 192 670 465 583 319 427 635 760 444	32 676 690 454 094 483 385 862 812
85	-4700314114038311031841115	-182728855195529461160585126	-7109392867826626914837449716	-71952773516188632888545144
86	10 224 077 808 938 757 568 364 783	402 141 349 920 870 671 599 037 548	15 829 794 487 407 914 130 651 664 444	158 443 333 160 753 123 241 082 372
58	-22 243 488 016 690 782 862 992 086	-885062275453463475531759414	-35243856214887254433554861726	-348913039391110399836823030
80	48 401 950 500 544 250 4/2 50 4/2 05/ 	1948 U18474447 U88 2U1 520 554 892 287 824 718 001 077 017 023 0024 074	78401 801 074 553 080 523 807 57 707 	421 714 667 010 187 910 667 789 007 714 069 408 130015 463 110 226
8	229 310 760 086 509 442 832 526 722	9438 551 172 124 661 276 621 472 080	388 790 296 374 975 505 848 281 680 740	3 726 926 745 592 918 193 624 099 284
16	-499254332826485830405089496	-20777664596947896696430266604	-865362328805313041911227036936	-8208483558388276019386972720
92	1087158906295203197314525443	45741434128774338756337752228	1925972519952860729220428345684	18079692468497167015871015788
93	-2367749947910536462457691310	-100703295399263069696732444520	-4286197764440865108983542970368	-39823072996281556640972600352
55	5 157 620 492 591 221 745 845 382 303	22171675647462635154266349488	9 538 177 217 550 360 368 042 356 768 560	87719100925863061037547551360
88	-11236 560 697 354 134 632 594 642 616 24 484 222 225 244 400 240 002 202 220	-488 174068 949 070 149 281 128 899 830	-21 224 177 013 741 918 069 997 560 647 638	- 193 227 743 472 334 785 915 666 225 854
06 16	24464 2/2 293 240 490 240 990 0003 309 —53359 176 119 558 192 258 725 059 781	1 0/4910 335 /43 801 310 810 310 94 304 	4/222 / 228 / 229 200 240 09 / 01 229 202 926 227 212 	020 270 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000
86	116304588119008605380082758269	5 21 2 29 3 22 4 137 570 1 31 9 56 0 81 9 10 0 68	233 758 716 381 324 649 345 956 203 550 124	$2\ 065\ 806\ 255\ 709\ 766\ 914\ 529\ 923\ 370\ 436$
66	-253541064664534333448574654272	-11478517921790669543324316788398	-520029205468351028057499552325942	-4551202015946486108841527592910
100	552792 621 363 735 927 069 722 202 340	25279082608208297840376986116580	1156810413899443238201095537631608	10027139072529312666003007391872
101	-1205419189592079128067122848352	$-55\ 674\ 391\ 944\ 146\ 677\ 972\ 360\ 325\ 076\ 636$	-2573191283063154192367322432822154	-22092376889614817985940202648678
102	2 628 908 431 680 539 275 382 640 619 768 5 724 205 500 825 000 1 50 571 754 051 502	122 622030 951 814 229 187 110 993 853 380 270 084 700 228 725 528 7 56 601 827 652	5723 454 485 424 354 892 796 024 259 804 260	48 676 843 932 534 358 770 102 134 948 212 107 754 816 822 237 200 531 615 080 280 476
101	-> >>+ >>+ >>+ >>+ >>+ >>+ >>+ >>+ >>+ >	264769 10677 672 672 672 672 672 674 800 675 264769 100 10711 1071 1072 672 672 723 812	906 C01 71C C46 071 607 7C0 901 107 601 671 71	0/4 607 600 010 100 067 707 700 010 407 701-
105	-27292552060674090069382730103133	-1310437975264638135266056264966662	-62 961 989 896 370 296 367 186 662 669 460 974	
106	59 554409 261 647 295 405 476 354898 380	2886688264100702091406743320354752	140014554490416449591789 656684308532	

2 2 5 1 1 4 2 2 6 6 1 1 1 4 2 2 8 4 9 2	1 3 3 426 429 421 42041 12683 5 5570 63570	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 5 3 3 5 3 5 5 3 5 5 3 5 5 5 1 1 5 5 2 1 9 2 1 5 5 2 1 9 2 2 1 5 2 2 1 5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	10 24 57 57 132 8304 8322 8322 8322 15921 118 99265 8322 97265 8322 1118 563 8322 1118 563 8322 1118 563 2516 753 2516 753 2516 753 2516 753 2516 1268 81854 1266 1265 3498 1612 106 573 223 1573 1268 284 1383 2408 1268 284 1288 284 1288 284 1288 284 284 1288 284 284 284 284 284 284 282 284 282 284 282 284 282 284 282 284 282 284 282 284 282 282
2 386 824 242 808 628 5 727 568 988 920 190 13 690 818 307 565 964 32 605 625 326 065 898 77 383 096 525 326 065 898 183 049 343 643 929 384 431 652 603 971 595 032 1014 868 412 269 977 442 2 379 355 385 563 105 336 5 563 403 530 205 036 262 12 974 964 525 963 569 978 30 186 354 559 080 349 712 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 1990 292 162 650 597 920 198 4572 211 932 174 265 999 574	2 386 824 242 808 628 5 727 568 988 920 190 13 605 625 356 664 32 605 625 356 065 898 77 383 096 278 813 208 183 049 343 643 929 384 431 652 603 971 595 032 1 014 868 412 269 977 442 2 379 355 385 563 105 336 5 563 403 553 056 369 978 30 186 354 559 088 349 712 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 1 990 292 162 650 597 920 198 4 572 211 932 174 265 999 574 10 484 509 048 736 986 795 242 1 939 926 816 621 820 432 406	2 386 824 242 808 628 5 727 568 988 920 190 13 605 625 326 065 898 77 383 096 278 813 208 183 049 343 643 929 384 431 652 603 971 595 032 1 014 868 412 269 977 442 2 379 355 385 563 105 336 5 563 403 553 05 036 262 10 14 868 412 269 977 442 2 379 355 385 563 105 336 5 563 403 553 05 036 569 10 297 4964 525 963 569 978 30 186 354 559 080 349 712 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 1990 292 162 650 597 920 198 4 572 211 932 174 265 999 574 10 484 509 048 736 986 795 242 23 3999 926 816 621 820 432 406 5 4 845 072 436 992 120 826 262 12 5131 020 334 445 948 974 496	2 7205 68 224 242 808 628 529 242 808 628 5727 568 988 920 190 13 6908 113 6705 58 988 920 988 920 190 13 609 515 555 988 920 888 13 260 525 326 055 898 13 32 605 525 326 053 971 595 032 183 049 343 643 929 971 442 23 379 355 385 563 105 336 559 977 442 23 379 355 385 563 105 336 559 977 442 23 379 355 385 563 105 336 559 571 442 25 553 403 530 205 036 413 568 387 529 280 112 974 966 537 946 540 768 795 242 12 974 966 937 946 540 768 795 242 112 974 966 937 946 540 768 795 242 112 974 966 937 946 540 768 795 242 112 974 966 937 946 540 768 795 242 112 974 966 937 946 540 768 795 242 112 976 429 729 296 1 990 292 162 250 597 920 198 457 23 23 999 926 816 621 820 432 406 15 55 899 774 496 937 946 979 243 6957 945 948 974 496 1 125 131 020 334 445 948 974 496 1 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 238 560 22 414 418 910 15 23 23 21 20 20 238 445 948 974 495 430 500 23 445 948 974 495 430 500 23 445 948 974 495 430 560 23 444 598 560 22 414 418 910 15 22 75 248 500 23 445 948 974 495 430 560 24 144 148 910 15 52 50 560 20 24 144 148 910 15 52 50 50 20 24 144 148 910 15 52 50 260 240 240 240 240 240 240 240 240 240 24	2 386 824 242 808 628 5 727 568 988 920 190 13 690 818 307 565 998 77 333 095 525 326 065 898 77 333 095 525 326 065 898 183 049 343 643 929 384 431 652 603 971 595 032 1014 868 412 269 977 442 2 379 355 385 563 105 336 5 563 403 530 205 036 262 1014 868 412 269 977 442 2 379 355 385 563 105 336 5 563 403 530 205 036 978 30 186 354 559 080 349 712 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 1990 292 162 650 597 920 198 4 572 211 923 174 265 999 574 10484 509 048 736 986 795 242 1990 292 182 660 537 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 1990 292 182 660 537 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 1990 292 182 660 537 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 152 53 102 0334 445 948 974 496 155 131 020 334 445 948 974 496 155 540 50 264 144 18 910 155 664 18 027 074 400 34 477 550 560 270 774 900 34 477 550 560 570 770 700 34 477 550 550 570 770 700 34 477 550 550 570 770 700 34 477 550 550 570 770 700 500 700 700 34 470 550 550 510 770 700 500 700 700 700 700 700 700 70
3 2366 824 2 0 5725 68 90 1 13 690 818 33 1 32 605 625 33 1 77 383 096 25 33 6 13 690 818 33 1 77 383 096 25 33 6 431 65 603 9 8 431 65 603 9 8 431 65 2603 9 9 1014 868 412 2 9 1014 868 412 2 9 1014 868 412 2 9 1014 868 412 2 9 5563 403 530 24 9 1291 555 9 9 1297 955 385 56 9 12014 356 83 9 30186 354 559 00 9 30186 354 559 01 9 374 966 937 946 55 9 864 732 112 976 45 3 1990 292 162 650 55 9 4572211 932 174 22	3 2366 824 2 0 5725 568 90 1 13 690 818 33 0 32 605 625 33 1 77 383 096 25 1 13 690 818 33 1 77 383 096 25 6 183 049 343 66 8 1014 868 412 20 2 2379 355 385 50 9 1014 868 412 20 9 1014 868 412 20 9 1014 868 412 20 9 1014 868 412 20 9 1014 868 412 20 9 12 275 90 9 12 274 964 555 90 9 12 275 91 144 35 9 166 537 405 550 91 144 35 3 374 966 937 946 55 3 18 964 752 11 257 51 9 1990 292 112 250 51 9 4572 21 1 932 174 25 9 16 457 290 048 736 91 8 23 999 926 816 621 8	236 236 824 572 572 568 60 572 568 61 13 690 818 733 965 525 33 61 13 605 625 33 65 11 32 665 625 33 66 183 043 66 343 66 88 101 183 043 35 35 35 35 32 35 35 32 35 32 335 35 32 36 37 35 32 335 35 32 33 35 32 33 35 32 33 35 32 33 35 32 33 33 33 33 33 35 32 33 33 35 33 33 35 33 34 36 37 36 37 36 33 33 36 36 33 36 36 33 36 36 36 36 36	3 2386 824 2 0 5725 568 90 1 13 690 818 33 1 13 690 818 33 1 77 383 096 25 33 6 183 049 343 6 8 431 652 603 9 8 431 652 603 9 9 1014 868 412 2 2 2379 355 385 56 9 1014 868 412 2 9 12974 964 525 9 9 12974 964 525 9 10 162 255 519 144 35 3 374 966 937 946 5 9 162 255 519 144 35 9 162 255 519 144 35 9 162 255 519 144 35 9 162 255 519 144 35 9 162 255 519 144 35 9 162 255 519 144 35 9 162 255 519 144 35 9 162 255 519 144 35 9 162 255 519 144 35 10 23 999 9256 816 6621 8 10 23 999 926 816 6621 8 10 23 999 926 816 6621 8 10 24 845 072 436 9921 11 285 043213 836 0224 45 9	2 386 824 2 5 727 568 91 3 600 818 33 3 2605 625 33 77 383 096 25 77 383 096 25 77 383 096 25 183 049 343 66 431 652 603 9 1 014 868 412 20 2 379 355 385 56 4 732 101 44 35 70 064 113 568 33 70 064 113 568 33 162 259 519 144 33 70 064 113 568 33 162 259 519 144 33 70 064 113 568 35 70 064 113 568 35 70 064 113 568 35 70 064 113 568 35 70 064 113 568 35 71 20 20 21 62 050 57 10 445 509 046 57 864 736 90 20 445 509 048 736 90 21 62 180 22 85 043 213 336 0024 445 90 22 85 043 213 336 0024 445 90 22 85 043 213 336 0024 445 90 23 64 13 60 23 445 90 648 336 112 166 418 00 648 336 102 10 40 147 11 12 12 166 418 00 22 10 20 334 445 90 23 60 22 445 90 20 23 60 22 445 90 20 24 85 60 22 445 90 20 24 85 60 22 445 90 20 24 85 60 20 24 445 90 26 81 66 21 80 26 83 60 22 445 90 26 83 60 20 24 445 90 26 80 35 70 147 11 20 20 20 24 445 90 20 20 20 24 445 90 20 20 24 445 90 20 20 21 21 21 21 64 18 00 20 20 21 412 112 166 41 80 20 20 20 21 412 10 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & 1 & 360 & 818 & 307 & 565 \\ 0 & 13 & 665 & 655 & 525 & 665 & 655 & 525 & 665 & 655 & 526 & 065 & 656 & 927 & 925 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 926 & 926 & 927 & 926 & 929 & 926 & 92$	1 0.02250 0.02050 1 13690 818307565 1 133096 55326065 1 183049 343643 1 183049 343643 1 183049 343643 1 183049 343643 1 183049 343643 2 1014868 12569 2 379355 35563 2 379355 35538563 2 379355 3563 2 379355 3559 30 186354 550 30 186354 550 30 186354 550 30 186354 550 30 186354 550 30 186354 550 30 18637 563 30 162 255 30 162 550 30 18637 579 30 18637 579 30 18637 579 30 18637 570 <td>13 690 818 307 565 11 13 690 818 307 565 12 13 690 818 307 555 11 13 13 99 32 643 929 12 183 049 343 643 929 971 597 12 183 049 343 643 929 971 597 12 183 049 345 643 125 971 597 12 1014 868 412 269 373 349 349 12 1274 966 331 165 555 363 349</td> <td>1 235 690 818 307 565 1 32 669 65 25 326 065 1 33 096 278 813 6 77 383 096 278 813 77 383 096 278 813 32 669 65 32 325 069 77 8 13 165 2603 971 595 8 143 165 2603 971 595 9 143 165 2603 971 595 9 1014 868 412 269 977 9 12 974 964 525 963 569 9 12 974 964 525 963 569 9 12 974 964 525 963 569 9 12 974 964 525 963 569 9 12 974 964 525 963 599 9 162 259 519 144 323 831 3374 966 937 946 540 768 9 162 259 519 144 323 831 30 186 354 559 080 349 9 162 259 519 144 323 831 3374 966 937 946 540 768 9 162 259 519 144 323 887 729 9 1990 292 162 650 597 920 9 1990 292 162 650 597 920 9 1044 509 048 736 986 792 9 1990 292 112 932 174 265 999 10 23 999 926 816 621 820 432 132 10 23 939 926 816 621 820 432 132 11 2</td> <td>1,3,5,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0</td>	13 690 818 307 565 11 13 690 818 307 565 12 13 690 818 307 555 11 13 13 99 32 643 929 12 183 049 343 643 929 971 597 12 183 049 343 643 929 971 597 12 183 049 345 643 125 971 597 12 1014 868 412 269 373 349 349 12 1274 966 331 165 555 363 349	1 235 690 818 307 565 1 32 669 65 25 326 065 1 33 096 278 813 6 77 383 096 278 813 77 383 096 278 813 32 669 65 32 325 069 77 8 13 165 2603 971 595 8 143 165 2603 971 595 9 143 165 2603 971 595 9 1014 868 412 269 977 9 12 974 964 525 963 569 9 12 974 964 525 963 569 9 12 974 964 525 963 569 9 12 974 964 525 963 569 9 12 974 964 525 963 599 9 162 259 519 144 323 831 3374 966 937 946 540 768 9 162 259 519 144 323 831 30 186 354 559 080 349 9 162 259 519 144 323 831 3374 966 937 946 540 768 9 162 259 519 144 323 887 729 9 1990 292 162 650 597 920 9 1990 292 162 650 597 920 9 1044 509 048 736 986 792 9 1990 292 112 932 174 265 999 10 23 999 926 816 621 820 432 132 10 23 939 926 816 621 820 432 132 11 2	1,3,5,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
6 183 049 343 643 929 384 8 431 652 603 971 595 032 2 1014 868 412 269 977 442 2 2379 355 385 563 105 336 9 5563 403 530 205 036 262 0 12 974 964 525 963 569 978 30 186 354 559 080 349 712 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 9 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 9 1990 292 162 650 597 920 198 9 4572 211 932 174 265 999 574	6 183 049 343 643 929 384 8 431 652 603 971 595 032 2 1014 868 412 269 977 442 2 379 355 385 563 105 336 9 5 563 403 530 205 036 262 0 12 974 964 525 963 569 978 30 186 354 559 080 349 712 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 9 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 9 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 9 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 9 1990 292 162 650 597 920 198 9 1990 292 162 650 597 920 198 9 23 199 926 816 651 820 432 7406	66 183 049 343 643 929 384 78 431 652 603 971 595 032 79 355 385 563 105 336 90 5563 403 553 055 036 262 91 12 974 964 555 963 569 978 92 12 974 964 555 963 569 978 93 18 63 345 712 93 18 63 545 59 080 349 712 93 18 63 545 59 080 349 712 96 12 974 964 525 963 9712 97 10 064 113 568 387 529 280 96 166 215 919 144 323 831 762 97 166 237 946 540 768 796 97 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 98 64 73 2112 976 429 792 0198 98 77 0164 113 568 387 529 280 99 1990 292 162 650 597 920 198 91 1990 292 162 650 597 920 198 92 1990 292 162 650 597 920 198 93 4572 211 932 174 265 999 574 94 1048 509 048 736 986 795 242 93 1992 212 920 120 826 262 94 54 845 072 436 992 120 826 262 94 54 845 072 436 992 120 826 262 95 864 732 1020 334 445 948 974 496 <td> 6 [183 049 343 643 929 384 8 431 652 603 971 595 032 2 2379 355 385 563 105 336 9 5563 403 553 055 036 262 0 12 974 964 525 963 569 978 3 0186 354 559 080 349 712 9 12 974 964 525 963 359 712 7 0064 113 568 387 529 280 1 990 291 144 323 831 762 3 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 3 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 3 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 3 1990 292 162 650 597 920 198 4 572 211 932 174 265 999 574 1 990 292 162 650 597 920 198 4 572 211 932 174 265 999 574 1 0484 509 048 736 986 795 242 2 3999 926 816 621 820 432 406 5 4 845 072 436 992 120 826 262 1 225 043 213 836 022 414 418 910 </td> <td>183 049 343 643 929 384 431 652 603 971 595 032 1014 868 412 269 977 442 2379 355 385 563 105 336 5563 403 553 025 036 262 112 974 964 525 963 569 978 30 186 354 559 080 349 712 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 206 199 0292 166 621 820 432 4496 54 845 072 436 992 120 826 262 125 131 020 334 445 948 974 496 54 836 012 414 418 910 648 336 112 166 418 027 074 000 648 336 112 166 418 027 074 000 648 336 112 166 418 027 074 000 648 336 112 166 418 027 074 000</td>	 6 [183 049 343 643 929 384 8 431 652 603 971 595 032 2 2379 355 385 563 105 336 9 5563 403 553 055 036 262 0 12 974 964 525 963 569 978 3 0186 354 559 080 349 712 9 12 974 964 525 963 359 712 7 0064 113 568 387 529 280 1 990 291 144 323 831 762 3 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 3 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 3 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 3 1990 292 162 650 597 920 198 4 572 211 932 174 265 999 574 1 990 292 162 650 597 920 198 4 572 211 932 174 265 999 574 1 0484 509 048 736 986 795 242 2 3999 926 816 621 820 432 406 5 4 845 072 436 992 120 826 262 1 225 043 213 836 022 414 418 910 	183 049 343 643 929 384 431 652 603 971 595 032 1014 868 412 269 977 442 2379 355 385 563 105 336 5563 403 553 025 036 262 112 974 964 525 963 569 978 30 186 354 559 080 349 712 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 206 199 0292 166 621 820 432 4496 54 845 072 436 992 120 826 262 125 131 020 334 445 948 974 496 54 836 012 414 418 910 648 336 112 166 418 027 074 000 648 336 112 166 418 027 074 000 648 336 112 166 418 027 074 000 648 336 112 166 418 027 074 000
9 5 563 403 530 205 036 262 0 12 974 964 525 963 569 978 2 30 186 354 559 080 349 712 9 12 974 964 525 963 569 978 9 30 186 354 559 080 349 712 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 6 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 3 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 9 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 3 1 990 292 162 650 597 920 198 9 4 577 211 932 174 265 999 574	9 5 563 403 530 205 036 262 0 12 974 964 525 963 569 978 2 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 6 12 57 519 144 323 831 762 3 30 186 354 559 080 349 712 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 6 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 3 74 966 937 946 540 768 796 9 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 3 74 966 937 946 540 768 796 9 162 250 519 144 323 831 762 3 74 966 937 946 540 768 796 9 1990 292 162 650 597 920 198 4 572 211 932 174 265 999 574 2 10 484 509 048 736 986 795 242 2 23 999 926 816 621 820 432 406	9 5 563 403 530 205 036 262 12 974 964 525 963 569 978 22 12 974 964 525 963 569 978 30 186 354 559 080 349 712 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 166 113 568 387 529 280 162 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 162 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 9 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 9 162 250 519 144 323 831 762 9 1990 292 162 650 597 920 198 9 4 572 211 932 174 265 999 574 9 104 84 509 048 736 986 795 242 23 999 926 816 621 820 432 406 54 845 072 436 992 120 826 262 7 125 131 020 334 445 948 974 496	9 5 563 403 530 205 036 262 0 12 974 964 525 963 569 978 30 186 354 559 080 349 712 9 30 186 354 559 080 349 712 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 6 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 9 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 9 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 1990 292 162 650 597 920 198 9 4572 211 932 174 265 999 574 100 484 509 048 736 967 795 242 8 23 999 926 816 621 820 432 406 54 845 072 436 992 120 826 262 7 125 131 020 334 445 948 974 496 7 125 13 020 334 445 948 974 496 7 285 043 213 836 022 414 418 910	5 563 403 530 205 036 262 12 974 964 525 963 569 978 30 186 354 559 080 349 712 70 064 113 568 387 529 280 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 1 990 292 162 650 597 920 198 4 572 211 932 174 265 999 574 1 0448 509 048 736 986 752 42 23 999 926 816 621 820 429 729 206 54 845 072 436 692 120 820 252 125 131 020 334 445 948 974 496 548 336 112 166 418 027 074 000 648 336 112 166 418 027 074 000
9 (1004 115 208 58 / 229 280 6 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 3 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 9 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 3 1 990 292 162 650 597 920 198 9 4572 211 932 174 265 999 574	 9 (1004 115 505 587 529 250 6 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 3 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 9 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 3 1 990 292 162 650 597 920 198 4 577 211 932 174 265 999 574 2 10 484 509 048 736 986 795 242 8 23 999 926 816 621 820 432 406 	90 //0.004 115 505 587 5.22 550 66 162 259 519 144 323 831 762 63 374 966 937 946 540 768 796 69 864 732 112 976 429 729 296 73 1 990 292 162 650 597 920 198 99 4 572 211 932 174 265 999 574 10 484 509 048 736 986 795 242 23 999 926 816 621 820 432 406 60 54 845 072 445 948 974 496 7 125 131 020 334 445 948 974 496	9 //0041155055572950 6 162259519144323831762 3 374966937946547762 9 864732112976429729296 3 1990292162650597920198 457221193217426599574 10484509048736986795242 2 10484509048736986795242 8 23999256816621820432406 548450724369921208266262 120826262 7 125131020334445948974496 1 285043213836022414418910	 700641155053515126 7522551511443233831762 762374966937946540768796 864732112976429729296 1990292162650597920198 4572211932174265999574 10484509048736986795242 23399926816621820432406 54845072436992120826262 54845072343445948974496 54845072343445948974496 538043213836022414418910 648336112166418027074000 6483370171135665512
9 4572211932174250995574	3 1990.292162.650.597920.198 9 4572.211.932.174.265.999.574 2 10.484.509.048.736.986.795.242 8 23.999.926.816.621.820.432.406	3 19907112370423 9 199029216565597920198 9 4572211932174265995574 10484509048756986755242 2399926816621820432406 239999226816651820432406 1 60 5484509120856262 3 7 12513102033445948974456 7	000712112510425 1222210 3 1990222162650597920198 4572211932174265999574 1986795242 2 10484509048736986795242 8 23399926816621820432406 1 54845072436992120826262 3 7 125131020334445948974496 3 7 225043213836022414418910 15	1990.452 10.2010.250 10.2010.2112 10.2020 10.88 4572.211 932174 265.999 574 10.484 509.048 736.986 754 23.999 926.816 621 820.432 406 23.999 926.816 66.21 820.432 406 1 23.999 926.816 66.21 820.432 406 3 125 13 020 334 445 948 974 496 7 255 043 213 020 334 445 948 974 496 7 255 13 020 334 445 948 974 496 7 7 2648 356 022 2414 418 910 1 7
	2 10484509048736986795242 6 8 2399926816621820432406 14	12 10 484 509 048 736 986 795 242 6 88 23 999 926 81 6 621 820 432 406 14 80 54 845 072 436 992 120 826 262 310 7 125 131 020 334 445 948 974 496 700	2 10484 509 048 736 986 795 242 6; 8 23 999 926 816 621 820 432 406 14; 0 54 845 072 436 992 120 826 262 310 7 125 131 020 334 445 948 974 496 700 1 285 043 213 836 022 414 418 910 157;	10 484 509 048 736 986 795 242 6 23 999 926 816 621 820 432 406 14 54 845 072 436 992 120 826 262 31 125 131 020 334 445 948 974 496 700 285 043 213 836 022 414 418 910 157 648 336 112 166 418 027 074 000 349 1 475 550 880 701 4118 750 764 000 349

Table A2. New series terms for the directed triangular lattice bond problem.

Table A3. New series terms for the directed triangular lattice site problem.

п	S(p)	$\mu_{0,1}(p)$	$\mu_{0,2}(p)$	$\mu_{2,0}(p)$
27	31 086 416	2 537 201 920	180 162 619 784	3 493 604 968
28	54 484 239	4 696 226 432	351 465 799 212	6 578 499 844
29	95 220 744	8 662 963 994	682 372 429 474	12 255 365 130
30	166 451 010	15 938 662 652	1 319 072 709 540	22 945 871 212
31	290 209 573	29 236 920 460	2 539 112 346 126	42 418 505 522
32	506 071 134	53 506 963 048	4 868 795 865 052	79 065 895 100
33	880 465 145	97 662 175 022	9 301 026 350 316	145 071 334 272
34	1 532 283 109	177 894 354 832	17 707 215 868 596	269 543 696 068
35	2 660 274 891	323 249 218 548	33 597 579 475 250	490 798 690 662
36	4 621 898 737	586 336 769 144	63 552 411 513 904	910 306 336 312
37	8 009 846 706	1 061 171 804 692	119 850 074 633 534	1 644 056 437 386
38	13 891 471 400	1 917 510 976 440	225 393 528 150 372	3 049 141 333 676
39	24 041 215 812	3 457 940 539 676	422719590219566	5 456 382 479 138
40	41 625 532 064	6 226 878 220 792	790 809 981 499 104	10 141 493 117 240
41	71 931 529 791	11 192 318 698 210	1 475 724 176 635 586	17 948 875 370 594
42	124 411 612 350	20 092 269 205 896	2747568614463200	33 532 113 165 512
43	214 621 391 390	36 004 956 808 838	5 103 796 857 539 224	58 529 997 237 324
44	370 839 553 549	64 452 114 092 524	9 460 996 104 306 040	110 351 718 228 800
45	639 024 696 294	115 182 948 294 020	17 501 002 169 903 066	189 161 996 834 038
46	1102419174084	205 638 719 322 044	32 311 701 334 358 584	361 978 973 535 312
47	1898477439658	366 587 483 305 266	59 540 588 349 689 460	605 431 024 385 712
48	3 271 434 676 999	652 904 591 166 608	109 522 752 581 367 792	1 185 609 582 832 608
49	5 624 820 363 027	1 161 134 164 194 872	201 098 347 347 198 582	1 916 175 057 214 282
50	9 693 710 116 271	2063632450148240	368 654 569 738 994 916	3 885 789 400 216 356
51	16 634 472 160 666	3 661 795 173 290 544	674 667 552 855 892 942	5 981 962 784 372 730
52	28 649 053 574 116	6494555752892524	1232887441544215856	12 779 152 925 915 688
53	49 158 925 607 599	11 502 147 999 885 690	2249412773359085386	18 336 104 911 125 754
54	84 477 695 445 892	20358932047872636	4098441587758882072	42 326 707 460 800 448
55 56	144 947 819 272 120 249 148 051 950 911	35 990 408 059 294 200 63 598 870 606 450 408	7 456 350 674 610 337 790 13 548 513 117 372 733 000	54 742 323 913 847 946

From the polynomials for $S^N(t_{\text{max}})$ and $X^N(t_{\text{max}})$ with $t_{\text{max}} = 47$, and using the extrapolation formulae, I extended the series for S(p), $\mu_{0,1}(p)$ and $\mu_{0,2}(p)$ to order 106 and the series for $\mu_{2,0}(p)$ to order 103. The new series terms are listed in table A1.

A.2. The triangular bond problem

The correction terms for the triangular bond problem are very simple. The first correction term for S^N is just a constant $s_{t,0} = 2$, while the first correction term for X^N alternates between 0 and 2. The non-nodal graphs responsible for these correction terms are almost trivial. It is clear (see figure 1) that the non-nodal graphs terminating at level t + 1 having the smallest possible number of bonds are those composed of two paths meeting on the centre line (t odd) or on the site next to the centre-line (t even), with each path having as few south-east and south-west steps as possible. These sites can be reached by a non-nodal graph with t + 1 bonds. For t odd the only two such graphs are those consisting of a path with $\lfloor t/2 \rfloor$ south steps, while ending with a south-east (south-west) step followed by $\lfloor t/2 \rfloor$ south steps and a path starting with a south-east (south-west) step followed by $\lfloor t/2 \rfloor$

south steps. It is easy to check that any other non-nodal graph contains more bonds. So $s_{t,0} = 2$ while $x_{t,0}$ alternate between 0 and 2 since for *t* odd the non-nodal graphs terminate on the centre-line and therefore do not contribute to X^N .

The sequence determining the second correction terms for S^N is

$$1, 2, 5, 10, 17, 26, 37, 50, 65, \ldots$$

from which it is clear that $s_{t,1}$ grows as a polynomial in t, $s_{t,1} = t^2 - 2t + 2$. In general the correction terms can be represented as a polynomial in t of order 2r. The alternation between odd and even values of t seen in $x_{t,0}$ eventually also manifests itself in the correction terms for S^N . The general formulae for the correction term is,

$$s_{t,r} = \frac{1}{r!(r+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{2r} a_{r,j}(t-1)^j + \frac{t \mod 2}{r!(r+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (r-3)/2 \rfloor} b_{r,j}(t-1)^j \qquad t \ge 2r-2.$$
(A.3)

The prefactors and the expression of the polynomials in terms of t - 1 has been chosen to make the leading coefficients particularly simple. Once again it should be noted that the leading coefficients $a_{r,2r-m}$ are polynomials in r of order $m + \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ (this is valid for $m \leq 5$), which again was used to obtain a few additional correction formulae.

The extrapolation formulae for X^N are very similar to the ones above,

$$x_{t,r} = \frac{1}{r!(r+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{2r} a_{r,j}(t-1)^j + \frac{t \mod 2}{r!(r+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^r b_{r,j}(t-1)^j \qquad t \ge 2r-2.$$
(A.4)

In this case the leading coefficients of both $a_{r,2r-m}$ and $b_{r,r-m}$ can be predicted. For r > m I find that $a_{r,2r-m}$ can be expressed as a polynomial in r of order $\leq m+2$. Likewise $(-1)^r b_{r,r-m}/(r+1)!$ is a polynomial in r of order 2m for r > 2m.

As stated earlier, the non-nodal contribution to the series for the triangular bond case were calculated up to $t_{\text{max}} = 45$. With the extrapolation formulae I derived the series for S(p), $\mu_{0,1}(p)$ and $\mu_{0,2}(p)$ to order 57 and the series for $\mu_{2,0}(p)$ to order 56. The resulting new series terms are listed in table A2.

A.3. The triangular site problem

In this case the first correction term for S^N alternates between 0 and 1 while the first correction term for X^N is 0. The graphs giving rise to these correction terms are very simple. First note that the graphs giving rise to the bond correction terms all have loops when viewed as site graphs. The non-nodal site graphs with fewest elements for t odd consist of the two paths starting with a south-east (south-west) step followed by $\lfloor t/2 \rfloor$ south steps and ending with a south-west (south-east) step. These graphs have t + 2 random elements (remember that the origin is not a random element). For t even one can easily see that there are no loop-free non-nodal graphs with t + 2 or fewer elements. This fully accounts for the first correction terms.

The other extrapolation formulae for the triangular site problem are very similar to those for the bond case. The only difference is that the order of the polynomials correcting the odd-*t* values is somewhat higher. Once again the leading coefficients are low-order polynomials in *r*. With the help of the extrapolation formulae I extended the series for S(p), $\mu_{0,1}(p)$ and $\mu_{0,2}(p)$ to order 56 and the series for $\mu_{2,0}(p)$ to order 55. The new series terms are listed in table A3.

7040 I Jensen

References

- Cardy J 1987 Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena vol 11, ed C Domb and J Lebowitz (New York: Academic) pp 55–126
- [2] Guttmann A J 1989 Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena vol 13, ed C Domb and J Lebowitz (New York: Academic) pp 1–234
- [3] Blease J 1977 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 10 917, 3461
- [4] Essam J W, Guttmann A J and De'Bell K 1988 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 3815
- [5] Baxter R J and Guttmann A J 1988 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 3193
- [6] Jensen I and Guttmann A J 1995 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 4813
- [7] Jensen I and Guttmann A J 1996 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29 497
- [8] Domany E and Kinzel W 1984 Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 311
- [9] Essam J W 1972 Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena vol 2, ed C Domb and M S Green (New York: Academic) pp 197–270
- [10] Bhatti F M and Essam J W 1984 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 17 L67
- [11] Knuth D E 1969 Seminumerical Algorithms (The Art of Computer Programming 2) (Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley)
- [12] Delest M-P and Viennot X G 1984 Theoret. Comput. Sci. 34 169
- [13] Lin K Y and Chang S J 1988 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 2635
- [14] Essam J W and Guttmann A J 1995 Phys. Rev. E 52 5849
- [15] Shapiro L W 1976 Discrete Math. 14 83
- [16] Arrowsmith D K and Essam J W 1977 J. Math. Phys. 18 235